UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

■ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2015 OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to Commission File Number: 001-35039

BankUnited, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

27-0162450

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

14817 Oak Lane, Miami Lakes, FL

33016

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (305) 569-2000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes \boxtimes No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes \boxtimes No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ⊠

Non-accelerated filer o

Accelerated filer o
Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No 🗵

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	May 6, 2015
Common Stock, \$0.01 Par Value	103,412,144

BANKUNITED, INC. Form 10-Q For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2015 TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
ITEM 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets	<u>3</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Income	<u>4</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	<u>5</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	<u>6</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity	<u>8</u>
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>9</u>
ITEM 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>40</u>
ITEM 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>70</u>
ITEM 4.	Controls and Procedures	<u>70</u>
PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
ITEM 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>71</u>
ITEM 1A.	Risk Factors	<u>71</u>
ITEM 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>71</u>
SIGNATURES		<u>72</u>

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS - UNAUDITED

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

	March 31, 2015		December 31, 2014	
ASSETS				
Cash and due from banks:				
Non-interest bearing	\$	42,966	\$	46,268
Interest bearing		45,899		33,979
Interest bearing deposits at Federal Reserve Bank		212,429		100,596
Federal funds sold		3,194		6,674
Cash and cash equivalents		304,488		187,517
Investment securities available for sale, at fair value		4,454,744		4,585,694
Investment securities held to maturity		10,000		10,000
Non-marketable equity securities		183,949		191,674
Loans held for sale		1,865		1,399
Loans (including covered loans of \$988,097 and \$1,043,864)		13,239,255		12,414,769
Allowance for loan and lease losses		(99,836)		(95,542)
Loans, net		13,139,419		12,319,227
FDIC indemnification asset		912,923		974,704
Bank owned life insurance		223,630		215,065
Equipment under operating lease, net		366,033		314,558
Other real estate owned (including covered OREO of \$11,068 and \$13,645)		11,203		13,780
Deferred tax asset, net		92,577		117,215
Goodwill and other intangible assets		68,256		68,414
Other assets		214,308		211,282
Total assets	\$	19,983,395	\$	19,210,529
		<u> </u>		
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY				
Liabilities:				
Demand deposits:				
Non-interest bearing	\$	2,718,596	\$	2,714,127
Interest bearing		976,438		899,696
Savings and money market		6,449,141		5,896,007
Time		4,117,064		4,001,925
Total deposits		14,261,239		13,511,755
Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowings		3,293,678		3,318,559
Other liabilities		312,861		327,681
Total liabilities		17,867,778		17,157,995
Commitments and contingencies				
Stockholders' equity:				
Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 400,000,000 shares authorized; 103,414,014 and 101,656,702 shares issued and outstanding		1,034		1,017
Paid-in capital		1,389,392		1,353,538
Retained earnings		675,763		651,627
Accumulated other comprehensive income		49,428		46,352
Total stockholders' equity		2,115,617		2,052,534
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$	19,983,395	\$	19,210,529

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME - UNAUDITED (In thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended March			March 31,
		2015		2014
Interest income:				
Loans	\$	171,379	\$	163,783
Investment securities		28,220		24,826
Other		2,283		1,953
Total interest income		201,882		190,562
Interest expense:				
Deposits		20,004		16,095
Borrowings		9,150		8,003
Total interest expense		29,154		24,098
Net interest income before provision for loan losses		172,728		166,464
Provision for (recovery of) loan losses (including \$(451) and \$796 for covered loans)		8,147		8,403
Net interest income after provision for loan losses		164,581		158,061
Non-interest income:				
Income from resolution of covered assets, net		15,154		13,061
Net loss on FDIC indemnification		(20,265)		(16,904)
FDIC reimbursement of costs of resolution of covered assets		707		1,128
Service charges and fees		4,451		4,005
Gain on sale of loans, net (including gain related to covered loans of \$10,006 and \$19,294)		10,166		19,332
Gain on investment securities available for sale, net		2,022		361
Lease financing		6,237		3,871
Other non-interest income		2,269		5,336
Total non-interest income		20,741		30,190
Non-interest expense:				
Employee compensation and benefits		49,479		49,449
Occupancy and equipment		18,170		16,967
Amortization of FDIC indemnification asset		22,005		15,741
Other real estate owned expense (income), net (including loss (gain) related to covered OREO of \$471 and \$(2,806))		1,224		(1,697)
Deposit insurance expense		2,918		2,252
Professional fees		3,298		3,430
Telecommunications and data processing		3,471		3,307
Other non-interest expense		13,579		13,012
Total non-interest expense		114,144		102,461
Income before income taxes		71,178		85,790
Provision for income taxes		24,721		30,519
Net income	\$	46,457	\$	55,271
Earnings per common share, basic (see Note 2)	\$	0.44	\$	0.53
Earnings per common share, diluted (see Note 2)	\$	0.44	\$	0.53
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$	0.21	\$	0.21
Cash dividends declated per Common share	Φ	0.21	Ψ	0.21

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME - UNAUDITED (In thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
		2015		2014
Net income	\$	46,457	\$	55,271
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:				
Unrealized gains on investment securities available for sale:				
Net unrealized holding gain arising during the period		12,987		13,411
Reclassification adjustment for net securities gains realized in income		(1,223)		(222)
Net change in unrealized gains on securities available for sale		11,764		13,189
Unrealized losses on derivative instruments:				
Net unrealized holding loss arising during the period		(12,707)		(4,576)
Reclassification adjustment for net losses realized in income		4,019		4,023
Net change in unrealized losses on derivative instruments		(8,688)		(553)
Other comprehensive income		3,076		12,636
Comprehensive income	\$	49,533	\$	67,907

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS - UNAUDITED (In thousands)

	 Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2015	2014	
Cash flows from operating activities:	_		
Net income	\$ 46,457	\$ 55,27	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Amortization and accretion, net	(43,497)	(72,53	
Provision for loan losses	8,147	8,40	
Income from resolution of covered assets, net	(15,154)	(13,06	
Net loss on FDIC indemnification	20,265	16,90	
(Gain) loss on sale of loans, net	(10,166)	(19,33	
Increase in cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance	(865)	(1,08	
Gain on investment securities available for sale, net	(2,022)	(36	
(Gain) loss on other real estate owned	471	(2,67	
Equity based compensation	3,151	3,52	
Depreciation and amortization	9,296	7,38	
Deferred income taxes	20,731	(14,50	
Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale	6,995	2,07	
Loans originated for sale, net of repayments	(7,311)	(3,25	
Realized tax deficiency (benefits) from dividend equivalents and equity based compensation	235	(61	
Other:			
(Increase) decrease in other assets	(10,326)	22,80	
Increase (decrease) in other liabilities	1,723	(7,57	
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	28,130	(18,64	
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchase of investment securities	(367,175)	(65,65	
Proceeds from repayments of investment securities available for sale	135,214	76,52	
Proceeds from sale of investment securities available for sale	334,917	119,82	
Purchase of non-marketable equity securities	(17,363)	(10,35	
Proceeds from redemption of non-marketable equity securities	25,088	8,76	
Purchases of loans	(169,380)	(179,38	
Loan originations, repayments and resolutions, net	(616,078)	(729,62	
Proceeds from sale of loans, net	47,695	146,92	
Decrease in FDIC indemnification asset for claims filed	21,902	41,04	
Purchase of premises and equipment, net	(2,241)	(16,35	
Acquisition of equipment under operating lease	(54,913)	(13,99	
Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned	4,360	22,83	
Other investing activities	(7,700)	(6,95	
Net cash used in investing activities	(665,674)	(606,39	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

(Continued)

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued) (In thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,				
		2015		2014	
Cash flows from financing activities:					
Net increase in deposits		749,487		591,354	
Additions to Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowings		1,045,000		1,125,000	
Repayments of Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowings		(1,070,409)		(1,075,375)	
Dividends paid		(21,968)		(21,833)	
Realized tax (deficiency) benefits from dividend equivalents and equity based compensation		(235)		615	
Exercise of stock options		32,955		914	
Other financing activities		19,685		14,767	
Net cash provided by financing activities		754,515		635,442	
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents		116,971		10,412	
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		187,517		252,749	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	304,488	\$	263,161	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:					
Interest paid	\$	27,226	\$	22,535	
Income taxes paid (received), net	\$	(350)	\$	21,734	
Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:					
Transfers from loans to other real estate owned	\$	2,254	\$	9,154	
Disbursement of loan proceeds from escrow	\$		\$	52,500	
Dividends declared, not paid	\$	22,321	\$	21,959	
Unsettled purchases of investment securities available for sale	\$	75,000	\$		
Acquisition of assets under capital lease	\$	_	\$	9,035	

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY - UNAUDITED (In thousands, except share data)

	Common Shares Outstanding		Common Stock	Paid-in Capital		Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Total Stockholders' Equity
Balance at December 31, 2014	101,656,702	\$	1,017	\$ 1,353,538	\$	651,627	\$ 46,352	\$ 2,052,534
Comprehensive income	_		_	_		46,457	3,076	49,533
Dividends	_		_	_		(22,321)	_	(22,321)
Equity based compensation	545,455		5	3,146		_	_	3,151
Forfeiture of unvested shares	(26,108)		_	_		_	_	_
Exercise of stock options	1,237,965		12	32,943		_	_	32,955
Tax deficiency from dividend equivalents and equity based compensation	_	_	_	(235)	_	_	_	 (235)
Balance at March 31, 2015	103,414,014	\$	1,034	\$ 1,389,392	\$	675,763	\$ 49,428	\$ 2,115,617
Balance at December 31, 2013	101,013,014	\$	1,010	\$ 1,334,945	\$	535,263	\$ 57,480	\$ 1,928,698
Comprehensive income	_		_	_		55,271	12,636	67,907
Dividends	_		_	_		(21,959)	_	(21,959)
Equity based compensation	620,180		6	3,523		_	_	3,529
Forfeiture of unvested shares	(24,140)		_	_		_	_	_
Exercise of stock options	54,883		1	913		_	_	914
Tax benefits from dividend equivalents and equity based compensation			_	 615				615
Balance at March 31, 2014	101,663,937	\$	1,017	\$ 1,339,996	\$	568,575	\$ 70,116	\$ 1,979,704

Note 1 Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

BankUnited, Inc. ("BankUnited, Inc." or "BKU"), is a national bank holding company with one wholly-owned subsidiary, BankUnited, National Association ("BankUnited" or the "Bank"), collectively, the Company. BankUnited, a national banking association headquartered in Miami Lakes, Florida, provides a full range of banking and related services to individual and corporate customers through 100 branches located in 15 Florida counties and 6 banking centers located in the New York metropolitan area at March 31, 2015.

On May 21, 2009, BankUnited acquired substantially all of the assets and assumed all of the non-brokered deposits and substantially all of the other liabilities of BankUnited, FSB from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") in a transaction referred to as the "FSB Acquisition." Neither the Company nor the Bank had any substantive operations prior to May 21, 2009. In connection with the FSB Acquisition, BankUnited entered into two loss sharing agreements with the FDIC (the "Loss Sharing Agreements"). The Loss Sharing Agreements consist of a single family shared-loss agreement (the "Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement"), and a commercial and other loans shared-loss agreement, (the "Commercial Shared-Loss Agreement"). The Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement provides for FDIC loss sharing and the Bank's reimbursement for recoveries to the FDIC through May 21, 2019 for single family residential loans and other real estate owned ("OREO"). Loss sharing under the Commercial Shared-Loss Agreement terminated on May 21, 2014. The Commercial Shared-Loss Agreement continues to provide for the Bank's reimbursement of recoveries to the FDIC through May 21, 2017 for all other covered assets, including commercial real estate, commercial and industrial and consumer loans, certain investment securities and commercial OREO. Gains realized on the sale of formerly covered investment securities are included in recoveries subject to reimbursement. The assets covered under the Loss Sharing Agreements are collectively referred to as the "covered assets." Pursuant to the terms of the Loss Sharing Agreements, the covered assets are subject to a stated loss threshold whereby the FDIC will reimburse BankUnited for 80% of losses related to the covered assets up to \$4.0 billion and 95% of losses in excess of this amount, beginning with the first dollar of loss incurred.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required for a fair presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flows in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") and should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto appearing in BKU's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 filed with the SEC. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2015 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected in future periods.

Certain amounts presented for prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

Accounting Estimates

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. Actual results could differ significantly from these estimates.

Significant estimates include the allowance for loan and lease losses ("ALLL"), the amount and timing of expected cash flows from covered assets and the FDIC indemnification asset, and the fair values of investment securities and other financial instruments. Management has used information provided by third party valuation specialists to assist in the determination of the fair values of investment securities.

Note 2 Earnings Per Common Share

The computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share is presented below for the periods indicated (in thousands, except share and per share data):

	Three Months Ended March 31,				
		2015		2014	
Basic earnings per common share:					
Numerator:					
Net income	\$	46,457	\$	55,271	
Distributed and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities		(1,772)		(2,145)	
Income allocated to common stockholders for basic earnings per common share	\$	44,685	\$	53,126	
Denominator:					
Weighted average common shares outstanding		102,231,870		101,325,157	
Less average unvested stock awards		(1,013,346)		(977,439)	
Weighted average shares for basic earnings per common share		101,218,524		100,347,718	
Basic earnings per common share	\$	0.44	\$	0.53	
Diluted earnings per common share:					
Numerator:					
Income allocated to common stockholders for basic earnings per common share	\$	44,685	\$	53,126	
Adjustment for earnings reallocated from participating securities		4		3	
Income used in calculating diluted earnings per common share	\$	44,689	\$	53,129	
Denominator:					
Weighted average shares for basic earnings per common share		101,218,524		100,347,718	
Dilutive effect of stock options		615,846		144,483	
Weighted average shares for diluted earnings per common share		101,834,370		100,492,201	
Diluted earnings per common share	\$	0.44	\$	0.53	

The following potentially dilutive securities were outstanding at March 31, 2015 and 2014, but excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per common share for the periods indicated because their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive:

	 Гhree Months Er	nded March 31,
	2015	2014
nvested shares	1,202,933	1,271,715
tock options and warrants	1.851.376	6.386.424

Note 3 Investment Securities

Investment securities available for sale consisted of the following at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	March 31, 2015							
			_	Gross	Unreal	lized		
		Amortized Cost	Gains		Losses		Fa	ir Value
U.S. Treasury securities	\$	54,932	\$	338	\$	_	\$	55,270
U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities		1,332,387		31,743		(1,551)		1,362,579
U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise commercial mortgage-backed securities		100,924		1,428		_		102,352
Resecuritized real estate mortgage investment conduits ("Re-Remics")		161,196		3,292		_		164,488
Private label residential mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations ("CMOs")		324,042		52,655		(378)		376,319
Private label commercial mortgage-backed securities		950,450		15,329		(667)		965,112
Single family rental real estate-backed securities		555,680		3,439		(2,863)		556,256
Collateralized loan obligations		309,564		223		(110)		309,677
Non-mortgage asset-backed securities		111,090		4,455		_		115,545
Preferred stocks		96,223		10,231		_		106,454
State and municipal obligations		30,519		539		_		31,058
Small Business Administration securities		291,657		9,992		(10)		301,639
Other debt securities		3,749		4,246		_		7,995
	\$	4,322,413	\$	137,910	\$	(5,579)	\$	4,454,744
				Decemb	oer 31,	2014		
	· ·			Gross	Unreal	lized		
		Amortized Cost		Gains		Losses	Fa	ir Value
U.S. Treasury securities	\$	54,924	\$	43	\$	_	\$	54,967
U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities		1,501,504		29,613		(6,401)		1,524,716
U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise commercial mortgage-backed securities		101,089		769		_		101,858
Re-Remics		179,664		3,613		(5)		183,272
Private label residential mortgage-backed securities and CMOs		350,300		54,222		(543)		403,979
Private label commercial mortgage-backed securities		1,134,854		9,470		(4,935)		1,139,389
Single family rental real estate-backed securities		446,079		468		(3,530)		443,017
Collateralized loan obligations		174,767		_		(435)		174,332
Non-mortgage asset-backed securities		117,562		4,608		(6)		122,164
Preferred stocks		96,294		9,148		_		105,442
State and municipal obligations		15,317		385		_		15,702
Small Business Administration securities		298,424		10,540		(236)		308,728
Other debt securities		3,712		4,416				8,128
	\$	4,474,490	\$	127,295	\$	(16,091)	\$	4,585,694

Investment securities held to maturity at March 31, 2015 consisted of one State of Israel bond with a carrying value of \$10 million. Fair value approximated carrying value at March 31, 2015. The bond matures in 2024.

At March 31, 2015, contractual maturities of investment securities available for sale, adjusted for anticipated prepayments of mortgage-backed and other pass-through securities, were as follows (in thousands):

	An	Amortized Cost		Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$	399,885	\$	417,039
Due after one year through five years		2,645,847		2,705,829
Due after five years through ten years		960,667		987,667
Due after ten years		219,791		237,755
Preferred stocks with no stated maturity		96,223		106,454
	\$	4,322,413	\$	4,454,744

Based on the Company's proprietary assumptions, the estimated weighted average life of the investment portfolio as of March 31, 2015 was 4.0 years. The effective duration of the investment portfolio as of March 31, 2015 was 1.4 years. The model results are based on assumptions that may differ from actual results.

The carrying value of securities pledged as collateral for Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") advances, public deposits, interest rate swaps, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and to secure borrowing capacity at the Federal Reserve Bank ("FRB") totaled \$1.1 billion at March 31, 2015 and \$1.0 billion at December 31, 2014.

The following table provides information about gains and losses on investment securities available for sale for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended Ma						
		2015		2014			
Proceeds from sale of investment securities available for sale	\$	334,917	\$	119,824			
Gross realized gains	\$	2,497	\$	1,280			
Gross realized losses		(475)		(919)			
Gain on investment securities available for sale, net	\$	2,022	\$	361			

The following tables present the aggregate fair value and the aggregate amount by which amortized cost exceeded fair value for investment securities in unrealized loss positions, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities had been in continuous unrealized loss positions at the dates indicated (in thousands):

				March	31, 20	15				
	Less than	12 N	Ionths	12 Months	or G	reater	Т	otal		
	 Fair Value		Unrealized Losses	Fair Value		Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	1	Unrealized Losses	
U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities	\$ 11,641	\$	(29)	\$ 266,595	\$	(1,522)	\$ 278,236	\$	(1,551)	
Private label residential mortgage-backed securities and CMOs	57,975		(133)	13,720		(245)	71,695		(378)	
Private label commercial mortgage-backed securities	91,891		(166)	91,240		(501)	183,131		(667)	
Single family rental real estate-backed securities	217,379		(2,863)	_		_	217,379		(2,863)	
Collateralized loan obligations	109,679		(110)	_		_	109,679		(110)	
Small Business Administration securities	974		(10)	_		_	974		(10)	
	\$ 489,539	\$	(3,311)	\$ 371,555	\$	(2,268)	\$ 861,094	\$	(5,579)	

				Decembe	r 31, 2	2014				
	Less than	12 M	onths	12 Months	or G	reater		To	otal	
	 Fair Value	,	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses		Fair Value		Ţ	Unrealized Losses
U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities	\$ 7,058	\$	(34)	\$ 300,057	\$	(6,367)	\$	307,115	\$	(6,401)
Re-Remics	_		_	335		(5)		335		(5)
Private label residential mortgage-backed securities and CMOs	60,076		(189)	14,653		(354)		74,729		(543)
Private label commercial mortgage-backed securities	103,900		(1,150)	239,456		(3,785)		343,356		(4,935)
Single family rental real estate-backed securities	233,012		(3,530)	_		_		233,012		(3,530)
Collateralized loan obligations	49,565		(435)	_		_		49,565		(435)
Non-mortgage asset-backed securities	2,796		(6)	_		_		2,796		(6)
Small Business Administration securities	49,851		(236)	_		_		49,851		(236)
	\$ 506,258	\$	(5,580)	\$ 554,501	\$	(10,511)	\$	1,060,759	\$	(16,091)

The Company monitors its investment securities available for sale for other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") on an individual security basis. No securities were determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired during the three months ended March 31, 2015 or 2014. The Company does not intend to sell securities that are in significant unrealized loss positions and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell these securities before recovery of the amortized cost basis, which may be at maturity. At March 31, 2015, 30 securities were in unrealized loss positions. The amount of impairment related to eight of these securities was considered insignificant, totaling approximately \$60 thousand and no further analysis with respect to these securities was considered necessary. The basis for concluding that impairment of the remaining securities was not other-than-temporary is further described below:

U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities:

At March 31, 2015, six U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities were in unrealized loss positions. The unrealized losses were primarily attributable to an increase in medium and long-term market interest rates subsequent to the date the securities were acquired. The amount of impairment of each of the individual securities was 2% or less of amortized cost. The timely payment of principal and interest on these securities is explicitly or implicitly guaranteed by the U.S. Government. Given the limited severity of impairment and the expectation of timely payment of principal and interest, the impairments were considered to be temporary.

Private label residential mortgage-backed securities and CMOs:

At March 31, 2015, four private label residential mortgage-backed securities were in unrealized loss positions. The unrealized losses were primarily due to widening credit spreads on certain variable rate securities and an increase in medium and long-term market rates subsequent to acquisition. These securities were assessed for OTTI using third-party developed credit and prepayment behavioral models and CUSIP level constant default rates, voluntary prepayment rates and loss severity and delinquency assumptions. The results of these assessments were not indicative of credit losses that would result in the Company recovering less than its amortized cost basis related to any of these securities as of March 31, 2015. Given the limited severity of impairment and the expectation of timely recovery of outstanding principal, the impairments were considered to be temporary.

Private label commercial mortgage-backed securities:

At March 31, 2015, five private label commercial mortgage-backed securities were in unrealized loss positions. The unrealized losses were primarily attributable to an increase in medium and long-term market interest rates subsequent to the date the securities were acquired and widening credit spreads on one less liquid security. The amount of impairment of each of the individual securities was less than 1% of amortized cost. These securities were assessed for OTTI using third-party developed models, incorporating assumptions consistent with the collateral characteristics of each security. The results of this analysis were not indicative of expected credit losses. Given the limited severity of impairment and the expectation of timely recovery of outstanding principal, the impairments were considered to be temporary.

Single family rental real estate-backed securities:

At March 31, 2015, six single family rental real estate-backed securities were in unrealized loss positions. The unrealized losses were primarily due to widening credit spreads. The securities had been in unrealized loss positions for nine months or less and the amount of impairment of each of the individual securities was 2% or less of amortized cost. Management's analysis of the credit characteristics and levels of subordination for each of the securities is not indicative of projected credit losses. Given the limited duration and severity of impairment and the absence of projected credit losses, the impairments were considered to be temporary.

Collateralized loan obligations:

At March 31, 2015, one collateralized loan obligation was in an unrealized loss position, due to widening credit spreads. This security had been in an unrealized loss position for seven months and the amount of impairment was less than 1% of amortized cost. Given the limited duration and severity of impairment and the results of independent analysis of the credit quality of loans underlying the security, the impairment was considered to be temporary.

Note 4 Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

The Company's loan portfolio includes loans acquired in the FSB Acquisition. Residential loans acquired in the FSB Acquisition are covered under the Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement (the "covered loans"). Loans acquired in the FSB Acquisition may be further segregated between those acquired with evidence of deterioration in credit quality since origination ("Acquired Credit Impaired" or "ACI" loans) and those acquired without evidence of deterioration in credit quality since origination ("non-ACI" loans).

Loans, net

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - UNAUDITED March 31, 2015

Loans consisted of the following at the dates indicated (dollars in thousands):

		Non-Cover	ed Lo	ans	Covere	d Loa	ns			_
		New Loans		ACI	ACI		Non-ACI		Total	Percent of Total
Residential:					 					
1-4 single family residential	\$	2,554,085	\$	_	\$ 835,610	\$	54,158	\$	3,443,853	26.1%
Home equity loans and lines of credit		1,754		_	16,275		91,823		109,852	0.8%
		2,555,839			851,885		145,981		3,553,705	26.9%
Commercial:										
Multi-family		2,407,733		24,958	_		_		2,432,691	18.4%
Commercial real estate										
Owner occupied		1,044,165		25,643	_		_		1,069,808	8.1%
Non-owner occupied		1,809,210		28,513	_		_		1,837,723	13.9%
Construction and land		219,582		2,007	_		_		221,589	1.7%
Commercial and industrial		2,555,826		1,128	_		_		2,556,954	19.4%
Commercial finance subsidiaries		1,501,819		_	_		_		1,501,819	11.4%
		9,538,335		82,249			_		9,620,584	72.9%
Consumer		26,814		13	_		_		26,827	0.2%
Total loans		12,120,988		82,262	851,885		145,981		13,201,116	100.0%
Premiums, discounts and deferred fees and costs, net		47,908		_	_		(9,769)		38,139	
Loans net of premiums, discounts and deferred fees and costs		12,168,896		82,262	851,885		136,212		13,239,255	
Allowance for loan and lease losses		(96,712)		_	_		(3,124)		(99,836)	

82,262 \$

851,885

133,088

13,139,419

12,072,184

December 31, 2014 Non-Covered Loans **Covered Loans** ACI ACI Non-ACI Total New Loans Percent of Total Residential: 1-4 single family residential \$ 2,486,272 \$ \$ 874,522 \$ 56,138 \$ 3,416,932 27.6% Home equity loans and lines of credit 1,827 22,657 101,142 125,626 1.0% 2,488,099 897,179 157,280 3,542,558 28.6% Commercial: Multi-family 24,964 15.8% 1,927,225 1,952,189 Commercial real estate 8.4% Owner occupied 1,008,930 34,440 1,043,370 Non-owner occupied 1,753,317 30,762 1,784,079 14.4% Construction and land 167,713 2.007 169,720 1.4% Commercial and industrial 2,402,064 1,229 2,403,293 19.4% Commercial finance subsidiaries 1,456,751 1,456,751 11.8% 71.2% 8,716,000 93,402 8,809,402 Consumer 0.2% 26,293 14 26,307 100.0% Total loans 11,230,392 93,416 897,179 157,280 12,378,267 Premiums, discounts and deferred fees and costs, 47,097 36,502 (10,595)Loans net of premiums, discounts and deferred fees and costs 93,416 897,179 11,277,489 146,685 12,414,769 Allowance for loan and lease losses (91,350)(4,192)(95,542)\$ 11,186,139 93,416 897,179 142,493 12,319,227 Loans, net

Through three subsidiaries, the Bank provides commercial and municipal equipment financing utilizing both loan and lease structures. At March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the commercial finance subsidiaries portfolio included a net investment in direct financing leases of \$438 million and \$458 million, respectively.

During the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company purchased 1-4 single family residential loans totaling \$169 million and \$179 million, respectively.

At March 31, 2015, the Company had pledged real estate loans with UPB of approximately \$7.5 billion and recorded investment of approximately \$6.3 billion as security for FHLB advances.

At March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the UPB of ACI loans was \$2.5 billion and \$2.6 billion, respectively. The accretable yield on ACI loans represents the amount by which undiscounted expected future cash flows exceed recorded investment. Changes in the accretable yield on ACI loans for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and the year ended December 31, 2014 were as follows (in thousands):

Balance, December 31, 2013	\$ 1,158,572
Reclassifications from non-accretable difference	185,604
Accretion	(338,864)
Balance, December 31, 2014	 1,005,312
Reclassifications from non-accretable difference	78,723
Accretion	(70,451)
Balance, March 31, 2015	\$ 1,013,584

Loan sales

During the periods indicated, the Company sold covered residential loans to third parties on a non-recourse basis. The following table summarizes the impact of these transactions (in thousands):

	 Three Months E	nded M	arch 31,
	2015		2014
UPB of loans sold	\$ 55,413	\$	70,188
Cash proceeds, net of transaction costs	\$ 47,695	\$	45,897
Recorded investment in loans sold	 37,689		36,087
Net pre-tax impact on earnings, excluding gain (loss) on FDIC indemnification	\$ 10,006	\$	9,810
Gain on sale of covered loans	\$ 10,006	\$	1,323
Proceeds recorded in interest income	_		8,487
	\$ 10,006	\$	9,810
Loss on FDIC indemnification	\$ (8,118)	\$	(320)

For the three months ended March 31, 2014, covered 1-4 single family residential loans with UPB of \$16 million were sold from a pool of ACI loans with a zero carrying value. Proceeds of the sale of loans from this pool, representing realization of accretable yield, were recorded in interest income. The gain or loss on the sale of loans from the remaining pools, representing the difference between the recorded investment and consideration received, was recorded in "Gain (loss) on sale of loans, net" in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, in accordance with the terms of the Commercial Shared-Loss Agreement, the Bank requested and received approval from the FDIC to sell certain covered commercial and consumer loans. These loans were transferred to loans held for sale at the lower of carrying value or fair value, determined at the individual loan level, upon receipt of FDIC approval and sold in March 2014. The reduction of carrying value to fair value for specific loans was recognized in the provision for loan losses. The following table summarizes the pre-tax impact of these sales, as reflected in the consolidated statements of income for the three months ended March 31, 2014 (in thousands):

Cash proceeds, net of transaction costs	\$	101,023
Carrying value of loans transferred to loans held for sale		86,521
Provision for loan losses recorded upon transfer to loans held for sale		(3,469)
Recorded investment in loans sold		83,052
Gain on sale of covered loans	\$	17,971
	<u>-</u>	
Loss on FDIC indemnification	\$	(2,085)

Allowance for loan and lease losses

Activity in the ALLL is summarized as follows for the periods indicated (in thousands):

							Thr	ee Months E	nded	March 31,					
				2015								2014			
	R	Residential	C	Commercial	Co	onsumer		Total		Residential	C	ommercial	C	onsumer	Total
Beginning balance	\$	11,325	\$	84,027	\$	190	\$	95,542	\$	15,353	\$	52,185	\$	2,187	\$ 69,725
Provision for (recovery of) loan losses:															
ACI loans		_		_		_		_		_		1,974		324	2,298
Non-ACI loans		(436)		(15)		_		(451)		(1,650)		148		_	(1,502)
New loans		2,945		5,658		(5)		8,598		450		6,033		1,124	7,607
Total provision		2,509		5,643		(5)		8,147		(1,200)		8,155		1,448	8,403
Charge-offs:															
ACI loans		_		_		_		_		_		(4,867)		(324)	(5,191)
Non-ACI loans		(639)		_		_		(639)		(233)		(490)		_	(723)
New loans		_		(3,399)		_		(3,399)		_		(2,186)		(363)	(2,549)
Total charge-offs		(639)		(3,399)				(4,038)		(233)		(7,543)		(687)	 (8,463)
Recoveries:															
Non-ACI loans		7		15		_		22		9		26		_	35
New loans		_		160		3		163		_		168		160	328
Total recoveries		7		175		3		185		9		194		160	363
Ending balance	\$	13,202	\$	86,446	\$	188	\$	99,836	\$	13,929	\$	52,991	\$	3,108	\$ 70,028

The following table presents information about the balance of the ALLL and related loans at the dates indicated (in thousands):

			March	31, 2	015		December 31, 2014								
]	Residential	Commercial		Consumer	Total		Residential	(Commercial	Consumer			Total	
Allowance for loan and lease losses:															
Ending balance	\$	13,202	\$ 86,446	\$	188	\$ 99,836	\$	11,325	\$	84,027	\$	190	\$	95,542	
Ending balance: non-ACI and new loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$	963	\$ 7,698	\$		\$ 8,661	\$	1,083	\$	6,878	\$	_	\$	7,961	
Ending balance: non-ACI and new loans collectively evaluated for impairment	\$	12,239	\$ 78,748	\$	188	\$ 91,175	\$	10,242	\$	77,149	\$	190	\$	87,581	
Ending balance: ACI	\$	_	\$ _	\$	_	\$ _	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
Ending balance: non-ACI	\$	3,124	\$ _	\$	_	\$ 3,124	\$	4,192	\$	_	\$	_	\$	4,192	
Ending balance: new loans	\$	10,078	\$ 86,446	\$	188	\$ 96,712	\$	7,133	\$	84,027	\$	190	\$	91,350	
Loans:															
Ending balance	\$	3,581,820	\$ 9,630,657	\$	26,778	\$ 13,239,255	\$	3,568,529	\$	8,819,980	\$	26,260	\$	12,414,769	
Ending balance: non-ACI and new loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$	7,740	\$ 38,672	\$	_	\$ 46,412	\$	6,406	\$	28,978	\$	_	\$	35,384	
Ending balance: non-ACI and new loans collectively evaluated for impairment	\$	2,722,195	\$ 9,509,736	\$	26,765	\$ 12,258,696	\$	2,664,944	\$	8,697,600	\$	26,246	\$	11,388,790	
Ending balance: ACI loans	\$	851,885	\$ 82,249	\$	13	\$ 934,147	\$	897,179	\$	93,402	\$	14	\$	990,595	

Credit quality information

New commercial relationships on non-accrual status with internal risk ratings of substandard or doubtful and with committed balances greater than or equal to \$750,000 as well as loans that have been modified in troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs") are individually evaluated for impairment. ACI loans or loan pools are considered to be impaired when there has been further deterioration in the cash flows expected at acquisition plus any additional cash flows expected to be collected arising from changes in estimates after acquisition, other than due to decreases in interest rate indices and changes in prepayment assumptions. Discount continues to be accreted on ACI loans or pools as long as there are expected future cash flows in excess of the current carrying amount; therefore, these loans are not classified as non-accrual even though they may be contractually delinquent. ACI 1-4 single family residential and home equity loans accounted for in pools are evaluated for impairment on a pool basis and the amount of any impairment is measured based on the expected aggregate cash flows of the pools. ACI commercial and commercial real estate loans are evaluated individually for impairment.

The tables below present information about new and non-ACI loans identified as impaired as of the dates indicated (in thousands):

			Ma	arch 31, 2015		December 31, 2014						
		Recorded nvestment		UPB	Related Specific Allowance	Recorded Investment		UPB			Related Specific Allowance	
New loans:												
With no specific allowance recorded:												
1-4 single family residential	\$	110	\$	105	\$ _	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
Commercial real estate												
Owner occupied		3,747		3,727	_		2,984		2,961		_	
Non-owner occupied		1,297		1,297	_		1,326		1,326			
Commercial and industrial		4,850		4,846	_		4,830		4,826		_	
Commercial finance subsidiaries		7,013		6,731	_		1,790		1,790			
With a specific allowance recorded:												
Commercial and industrial		10,202		10,201	3,937		11,152		11,157		4,054	
Commercial finance subsidiaries		11,563		11,523	3,761		6,896		6,896		2,824	
Total:												
Residential	\$	110	\$	105	\$ _	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
Commercial		38,672		38,325	7,698		28,978		28,956		6,878	
	\$	38,782	\$	38,430	\$ 7,698	\$	28,978	\$	28,956	\$	6,878	
Non-ACI loans:	<u> </u>											
With no specific allowance recorded:												
1-4 single family residential	\$	519	\$	613	\$ _	\$	358	\$	426	\$	_	
Home equity loans and lines of credit		1,849		1,879	_		1,621		1,647		_	
With a specific allowance recorded:												
1-4 single family residential		3,337		3,940	731		3,493		4,158		945	
Home equity loans and lines of credit		1,925		1,957	232		934		949		138	
Total:												
Residential	\$	7,630	\$	8,389	\$ 963	\$	6,406	\$	7,180	\$	1,083	
Commercial		_		_	_		_		_		_	
	\$	7,630	\$	8,389	\$ 963	\$	6,406	\$	7,180	\$	1,083	

One non-owner occupied commercial real estate ACI loan with a carrying value of \$509 thousand was impaired as of March 31, 2015. Interest income recognized on impaired loans after impairment was not significant during the periods presented.

The following table presents the average recorded investment in impaired loans for the periods indicated (in thousands):

Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 2015 Non-ACI Non-ACI ACI Loans New Loans Loans **ACI Loans New Loans** Loans Residential: 1-4 single family residential 3,854 3,719 \$ 55 Home equity loans and lines of credit 3,165 2,048 55 7,019 5,767 Commercial: Multi-family 1,739 Commercial real estate Owner occupied 3,366 2,466 1,322 1,312 255 1,429 16,410 Non-owner occupied Construction and land 1,127 Commercial and industrial 15,517 14,550 998 1,966 Commercial finance subsidiaries 13,631 1,262 255 998 33,826 19,707 22,564 7,019 \$ 33,881 255 19,707 \$ 6,765 22,564

The following table presents the recorded investment in new and non-ACI loans on non-accrual status as of the dates indicated (in thousands):

	March	31, 20	15	Decembe	er 31, 2014		
	New Loans		Non-ACI Loans	New Loans		Non-ACI Loans	
Residential:							
1-4 single family residential	\$ 111	\$	1,543	\$ 49	\$	604	
Home equity loans and lines of credit	_		2,850	_		3,808	
	111		4,393	49		4,412	
Commercial:							
Commercial real estate							
Owner occupied	3,747		_	3,362		_	
Non-owner occupied	1,297		_	1,326		_	
Construction and land	_		_	209		_	
Commercial and industrial	13,604		_	13,666		_	
Commercial finance subsidiaries	19,098		_	9,226		_	
	37,746		_	27,789		_	
Consumer	 67		_	173		_	
	\$ 37,924	\$	4,393	\$ 28,011	\$	4,412	

New and non-ACI loans contractually delinquent by 90 days or more and still accruing totaled \$433 thousand at March 31, 2015. There were no new and non-ACI loans contractually delinquent by 90 days or more and still accruing at December 31,

2014. The amount of additional interest income that would have been recognized on non-accrual loans had they performed in accordance with their contractual terms is not material.

Management considers delinquency status to be the most meaningful indicator of the credit quality of 1-4 single family residential, home equity and consumer loans. Delinquency statistics are updated at least monthly. See "Aging of loans" below for more information on the delinquency status of loans. Original loan-to-value ("LTV") and original FICO score are also important indicators of credit quality for the new 1-4 single family residential portfolio.

Internal risk ratings are considered the most meaningful indicator of credit quality for commercial loans. Internal risk ratings are a key factor in identifying loans that are individually evaluated for impairment and impact management's estimates of loss factors used in determining the amount of the ALLL. Internal risk ratings are updated on a continuous basis. Relationships with balances in excess of \$1 million are re-evaluated at least annually and more frequently if circumstances indicate that a change in risk rating may be warranted. Loans exhibiting potential credit weaknesses that deserve management's close attention and that if left uncorrected may result in deterioration of the repayment capacity of the borrower are categorized as special mention. Loans with well-defined credit weaknesses, including payment defaults, declining collateral values, frequent overdrafts, operating losses, increasing balance sheet leverage, inadequate cash flow, project cost overruns, unreasonable construction delays, past due real estate taxes or exhausted interest reserves, are assigned an internal risk rating of substandard. A loan with a weakness so severe that collection in full is highly questionable or improbable, but because of certain reasonably specific pending factors has not been charged off, will be assigned an internal risk rating of doubtful.

The following tables summarize key indicators of credit quality for the Company's loans at the dates indicated. Amounts are net of premiums, discounts and deferred fees and costs (in thousands):

1-4 Single Family Residential credit exposure for new loans, based on original LTV and FICO score:

			M	larch 31, 2015		
				FICO		
LTV	720 or less	721 - 740		741 - 760	761 or greater	Total
60% or less	\$ 69,566	\$ 83,697	\$	134,214	\$ 607,720	\$ 895,197
60% - 70%	57,721	66,802		103,340	430,610	658,473
70% - 80%	46,783	100,892		169,947	675,115	992,737
More than 80%	28,211	3,231		3,350	10,770	45,562
	\$ 202,281	\$ 254,622	\$	410,851	\$ 1,724,215	\$ 2,591,969

_			De	cember 31, 2014		
				FICO		
LTV	720 or less	721 - 740		741 - 760	761 or greater	Total
60% or less	\$ 64,590	\$ 79,518	\$	128,115	\$ 596,843	\$ 869,066
60% - 70%	55,075	63,642		102,054	424,487	645,258
70% - 80%	43,316	98,052		170,305	650,165	961,838
More than 80%	28,218	3,261		3,450	11,747	46,676
	\$ 191,199	\$ 244,473	\$	403,924	\$ 1,683,242	\$ 2,522,838

Commercial credit exposure, based on internal risk rating:

							M	Iarch 31, 2015			
				Commercia	l Rea	l Estate					
	N	Aulti-Family	Ov	wner Occupied		Non-Owner Occupied	•	Construction and Land	Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Finance Subsidiaries	Total
New loans:											
Pass	\$	2,411,441	\$	1,031,497	\$	1,806,401	\$	218,757	\$ 2,516,184	\$ 1,482,011	\$ 9,466,291
Special mention		_		6,487		_		_	8,692	6,850	22,029
Substandard		407		7,119		1,297		196	24,323	10,949	44,291
Doubtful		_		_		_		_	4,674	11,123	15,797
	\$	2,411,848	\$	1,045,103	\$	1,807,698	\$	218,953	\$ 2,553,873	\$ 1,510,933	\$ 9,548,408
ACI loans:											
Pass	\$	22,772	\$	25,643	\$	27,829	\$	2,007	\$ 1,060	\$ _	\$ 79,311
Special mention		_		_		509		_	_	_	509
Substandard		2,186		_		175		_	68	_	2,429
Doubtful		_		_		_		_	_	_	_
	\$	24,958	\$	25,643	\$	28,513	\$	2,007	\$ 1,128	\$ _	\$ 82,249
							Dec	rember 31 2014			

							Dec	ember 31, 2014			
				Commercia	l Rea	l Estate					
	N	Multi-Family	0	wner Occupied		Non-Owner Occupied	(Construction and Land	Commercial and Industrial	Commercial Finance Subsidiaries	Total
New loans:											
Pass	\$	1,930,324	\$	995,062	\$	1,750,753	\$	166,929	\$ 2,364,792	\$ 1,441,670	\$ 8,649,530
Special mention		_		8,303		_		_	9,165	7,155	24,623
Substandard		408		6,426		1,326		209	21,501	11,044	40,914
Doubtful		_		_		_		_	5,121	6,390	11,511
	\$	1,930,732	\$	1,009,791	\$	1,752,079	\$	167,138	\$ 2,400,579	\$ 1,466,259	\$ 8,726,578
ACI loans:											
Pass	\$	22,762	\$	34,440	\$	30,101	\$	2,007	\$ 1,156	\$ _	\$ 90,466
Special mention		_		_		509		_	_	_	509
Substandard		2,202		_		152		_	67	_	2,421
Doubtful		_		_		_		_	6	_	6
	\$	24,964	\$	34,440	\$	30,762	\$	2,007	\$ 1,229	\$ _	\$ 93,402

Aging of loans:

The following table presents an aging of loans at the dates indicated. Amounts are net of premiums, discounts and deferred fees and costs (in thousands):

				Ma	rch 31, 201	5							Dece	mber 31, 20	14		
	Current	I	30 - 59 Days Past Due	I	60 - 89 Days Past Due		90 Days or More Past Due or in Foreclosure		Total		Current	30 - 59 Days Past Due		60 - 89 ays Past Due	N I	Days or Iore Past Due or in Oreclosure	Total
New loans:																	
1-4 single family residential	\$ 2,585,015	\$	6,843	\$	_	\$	111	\$	2,591,969	\$	2,518,357	\$ 4,432	\$	_	\$	49	\$ 2,522,838
Home equity loans and lines of credit	1,754		_		_		_		1,754		1,827	_		_		_	1,827
Multi-family	2,411,848		_		_		_		2,411,848		1,929,546	1,186		_		_	1,930,732
Commercial real estate	2, 111,0 10								2, 111,010		1,525,510	1,100					1,550,752
Owner occupied	1,044,275		828		_		_		1,045,103		1,008,576	836		_		379	1,009,791
Non-owner occupied	1,807,698		_		_		_		1,807,698		1,752,079	_		_		_	1,752,079
Construction and land	218,953		_		_		_		218,953		167,138	_		_		_	167,138
Commercial and industrial	2,547,443		3,336		630		2,464		2,553,873		2,396,549	1,696		327		2,007	2,400,579
Commercial finance subsidiaries	1,495,531		8,084		5,157		2,161		1,510,933		1,458,890	5,208		246		1,915	1,466,259
Consumer	26,696		12		_		57		26,765		26,116	2		10		118	26,246
	\$ 12,139,213	\$	19,103	\$	5,787	\$	4,793	\$	12,168,896	\$	11,259,078	\$ 13,360	\$	583	\$	4,468	\$ 11,277,489
Non-ACI loans:																	
1-4 single family residential	\$ 44,083	\$	243	\$	1,466	\$	77	\$	45,869	\$	45,959	\$ 602	\$	563	\$	41	\$ 47,165
Home equity loans and lines of credit	85,663		821		576		3,283		90,343		93,427	1,739		546		3,808	99,520
	\$ 129,746	\$	1,064	\$	2,042	\$	3,360	\$	136,212	\$	139,386	\$ 2,341	\$	1,109	\$	3,849	\$ 146,685
ACI loans:	<u> </u>	_		_	<u> </u>	_		_		Ė	<u> </u>		_				<u> </u>
1-4 single family residential	\$ 790,806	\$	16,808	\$	3,012	\$	24,984	\$	835,610	\$	829,412	\$ 18,463	\$	4,689	\$	21,958	\$ 874,522
Home equity loans and lines of credit	14,989		302		81		903		16,275		20,474	558		125		1,500	22,657
Multi-family	24,957		502		01		1		24,958		24,964	555		120		1,000	24,964
Commercial real estate	24,937		_		_		1		24,936		24,904	_		_		_	24,904
Owner occupied	25,641		_		_		2		25,643		34,440	_		_		_	34,440
Non-owner occupied	28,477		_		_		36		28,513		30,746	9		_		7	30,762
Construction and land	2,007		_		_		_		2,007		2,007	_		_		_	2,007
Commercial and industrial	1,090		38		_		_		1,128		1,196	3		_		30	1,229
Consumer	13		_		_		_		13		14	_		_		_	14
	\$ 887,980	\$	17,148	\$	3,093	\$	25,926	\$	934,147	\$	943,253	\$ 19,033	\$	4,814	\$	23,495	\$ 990,595

¹⁻⁴ single family residential and home equity ACI loans that are contractually delinquent by more than 90 days and accounted for in pools that are on accrual status because discount continues to be accreted totaled \$26 million and \$23 million at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.

Foreclosure of residential real estate:

The carrying amount of foreclosed residential real estate properties included in "Other real estate owned" in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets totaled \$11 million and \$14 million at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. The recorded investment of residential mortgage loans in the process of foreclosure totaled \$14 million and \$16 million at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.

Troubled debt restructurings:

Modifications during the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 included interest rate reductions, restructuring of the amount and timing of required periodic payments, extensions of maturity and residential modifications under the U.S. Treasury Department's Home Affordable Modification Program ("HAMP"). Included in TDRs are residential loans to borrowers who have not reaffirmed their debt discharged in Chapter 7 bankruptcy. The total amount of such loans is not material. Modified ACI loans accounted for in pools are not considered TDRs, are not separated from the pools and are not classified as impaired loans. Because of the immateriality of the number and dollar amount of loans modified in TDRs and nature of the modifications, the modifications did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements or on the determination of the amount of the ALLL at March 31, 2015 and 2014.

Note 5 FDIC Indemnification Asset

When the Company recognizes gains or losses related to covered assets in its consolidated financial statements, changes in the estimated amount recoverable from the FDIC under the Loss Sharing Agreements with respect to those gains or losses are also reflected in the consolidated financial statements. Covered loans may be resolved through prepayment, short sale of the underlying collateral, foreclosure, sale of the loans or charge-off. For loans resolved through prepayment, short sale or foreclosure, the difference between consideration received in satisfaction of the loans and the carrying value of the loans is recognized in the statement of income line item "Income from resolution of covered assets, net." Losses from the resolution of covered loans increase the amount recoverable from the FDIC under the Loss Sharing Agreements. Gains from the resolution of covered loans reduce the amount recoverable from the FDIC under the Loss Sharing Agreements. Similarly, differences in proceeds received on the sale of OREO and covered loans and their carrying amounts result in gains or losses and reduce or increase the amount recoverable from the FDIC under the Loss Sharing Agreements. Increases in valuation allowances or impairment charges related to covered assets also increase the amount estimated to be recoverable from the FDIC. These additions to or reductions in amounts recoverable from the FDIC related to transactions in the covered assets are recorded in the consolidated statement of income line item "Net loss on FDIC indemnification" and reflected as corresponding increases or decreases in the FDIC indemnification asset.

In addition, recoveries of previously indemnified losses on commercial loans and gains on the sale of investment securities that were previously covered under the Commercial Shared-Loss Agreement result in reimbursements due to the FDIC. These transactions are included in the tables below. Amounts payable to the FDIC resulting from these transactions are recognized in other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2015.

The following table summarizes the components of the gains and losses associated with covered assets, along with the related additions to or reductions in the amounts recoverable from the FDIC under the Loss Sharing Agreements, as reflected in the consolidated statements of income for the periods indicated (in thousands):

				T	hree Months E	nded	l March 31,		
			2015					2014	
	Th	ransaction Income	 et Loss on FDIC ndemnification		Net Impact on Pre-tax Earnings	Т	ransaction Income	Net Loss on FDIC Indemnification	Net Impact on Pre-tax Earnings
Recovery of (provision for) losses on covered loans (1)	\$	500	\$ (402)	\$	98	\$	(796)	\$ 593	\$ (203)
Income from resolution of covered assets, net		15,154	(12,122)		3,032		13,061	(10,491)	2,570
Gain on sale of covered loans		10,006	(8,118)		1,888		19,294	(4,848)	14,446
Gain (loss) on covered OREO		(471)	377		(94)		2,806	(2,158)	648
	\$	25,189	\$ (20,265)	\$	4,924	\$	34,365	\$ (16,904)	\$ 17,461

⁽¹⁾ Transaction income for the three months ended March 31, 2015 includes a recovery of \$49 thousand related to unfunded loan commitments included in other non-interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of income.

For a number of reasons, the gain or loss on FDIC indemnification may not bear the relationship to net income or loss from transactions in the covered assets that might generally be expected based on the Loss Sharing Agreements. These reasons include, but are not limited to, the fact that the amount of indemnification from the resolution of covered loans is generally based on the UPB of the loans rather than carrying value and proceeds in excess of the contractual UPB of the loans are not subject to loss sharing.

Changes in the FDIC indemnification asset and in the liability to the FDIC for recoveries related to assets previously covered under the Commercial Shared-Loss Agreement for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and the year ended December 31, 2014, were as follows (in thousands):

Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ 1,205,117
Amortization	(69,470)
Reduction for claims filed	(114,916)
Net loss on FDIC indemnification	(46,396)
Balance at December 31, 2014	 974,335
Amortization	(22,005)
Reduction for claims filed	(21,902)
Net loss on FDIC indemnification	(20,265)
Balance at March 31, 2015	\$ 910,163

The balance at March 31, 2015 is reflected in the consolidated balance sheet as follows (in thousands):

FDIC indemnification asset	\$ 912,923
Other liabilities	(2,760)
	\$ 910,163

Note 6 Income Taxes

The Company's effective income tax rate of 34.7% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 differed from the statutory federal income tax rate of 35.0% primarily due to the impact of tax-exempt income, offset in part by the impact of state income taxes. For three months ended March 31, 2014 the effective income tax rate of 35.6% differed from the statutory federal income

tax rate primarily due to the impact of state income taxes, substantially offset by the impact of tax-exempt income and state tax law changes enacted in the first quarter of 2014.

Note 7 Derivatives and Hedging Activities

The Company uses interest rate swaps to manage interest rate risk related to variable rate FHLB advances and certificates of deposit with maturities of one year, which expose the Company to variability in cash flows due to changes in interest rates. The Company enters into LIBOR-based interest rate swaps that are designated as cash flow hedges with the objective of limiting the variability of interest payment cash flows resulting from changes in the benchmark interest rate LIBOR. The effective portion of changes in the fair value of interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedging instruments is reported in accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI") and subsequently reclassified into interest expense in the same period in which the related interest on the floating-rate debt obligations affects earnings.

The Company also enters into interest rate derivative contracts with certain of its commercial borrowers to enable those borrowers to manage their exposure to interest rate fluctuations. To mitigate interest rate risk associated with these derivative contracts, the Company enters into offsetting derivative contract positions with primary dealers. These interest rate derivative contracts are not designated as hedging instruments; therefore, changes in the fair value of these derivatives are recognized immediately in earnings. The impact on earnings related to changes in fair value of these derivatives for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 was not material.

The Company may be exposed to credit risk in the event of non-performance by the counterparties to its interest rate derivative agreements. The Company assesses the credit risk of its financial institution counterparties by monitoring publicly available credit rating and financial information. The Company manages dealer credit risk by entering into interest rate derivatives only with primary and highly rated counterparties, the use of International Swaps and Derivatives Association ("ISDA") master agreements and counterparty limits. The agreements contain bilateral collateral arrangements with the amount of collateral to be posted generally governed by the settlement value of outstanding swaps. The Company manages the risk of default by its borrower counterparties through its normal loan underwriting and credit monitoring policies and procedures. The Company does not currently anticipate any losses from failure of interest rate derivative counterparties to honor their obligations.

The following tables set forth certain information concerning the Company's interest rate contract derivative financial instruments and related hedged items at the dates indicated (dollars in thousands):

				March 31, 20	15					
	Hedged Item	Weighted Average Pay Rate	Weighted Average Receive Rate	Weighted Average Remaining Life in Years		Notional Amount	Balance Sheet Location	 Fair Asset	valu	e Liability
Derivatives designated as cash flow hedges:										
Pay-fixed interest rate swaps	Variability of interest cash flows on certificates of deposit	3.11%	12-Month Libor	0.6	\$	225,000	Other liabilities	\$ _	\$	(5,746)
Pay-fixed interest rate swaps	Variability of interest cash flows on variable rate borrowings	1.61%	3-Month Libor	2.6		1,505,000	Other assets / Other liabilities	499		(22,380)
Pay-fixed forward- starting interest rate swaps	Variability of interest cash flows on variable rate borrowings	3.43%	3-Month Libor	12.2		300,000	Other assets / Other liabilities	_		(27,235)
Derivatives not designated as hedges:										
Pay-fixed interest rate swaps and caps		4.35%	Indexed to 1- month Libor	6.2		556,070	Other assets / Other liabilities	13		(33,677)
Pay-variable interest rate swaps and caps		Indexed to 1- month Libor	4.35%	6.2		556,070	Other assets / Other liabilities	33,677		(13)
					\$	3,142,140		\$ 34,189	\$	(89,051)

December 31, 2014

		Weighted Average	Weighted Average	Weighted Average Remaining	Notional	Balance Sheet	 Fair	value	
	Hedged Item	Pay Rate	Receive Rate	Life in Years	 Amount	Location	 Asset	1	Liability
Derivatives designated as cash flow hedges:									
Pay-fixed interest rate swaps	Variability of interest cash flows on certificates of deposit	3.11%	12-Month Libor	0.8	\$ 225,000	Other liabilities	\$ _	\$	(5,741)
Pay-fixed interest rate swaps	Variability of interest cash flows on variable rate borrowings	1.61%	3-Month Libor	2.8	1,505,000	Other assets / Other liabilities	4,083		(19,639)
Pay-fixed forward- starting interest rate swaps	Variability of interest cash flows on variable rate borrowings	3.43%	3-Month Libor	12.5	300,000	Other assets / Other liabilities	_		(18,115)
Derivatives not designated as hedges:									
Pay-fixed interest rate swaps and caps		4.34%	Indexed to 1- month Libor	6.3	537,368	Other assets / Other liabilities	60		(25,622)
Pay-variable interest rate swaps and caps		Indexed to 1- month Libor	4.34%	6.3	537,368	Other assets / Other liabilities	25,622		(60)
					\$ 3,104,736		\$ 29,765	\$	(69,177)

The following table provides information about gains and losses related to interest rate contract derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 (in thousands):

	 Three Months I	Ended	March 31,
	2015		2014
Amount of loss reclassified from AOCI into interest expense during the period (effective portion)	\$ (6,543)	\$	(6,549)
Amount of gain (loss) recognized in income during the period (ineffective portion)	\$ _	\$	

During the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, no derivative positions designated as cash flow hedges were discontinued and none of the gains and losses reported in AOCI were reclassified into earnings as a result of the discontinuance of cash flow hedges or because of the early extinguishment of debt. As of March 31, 2015, the amount expected to be reclassified from AOCI into income during the next twelve months was \$21.1 million.

Some of the Company's ISDA master agreements with financial institution counterparties contain provisions that permit either counterparty to terminate the agreements and require settlement in the event that regulatory capital ratios fall below certain designated thresholds, upon the initiation of other defined regulatory actions or upon suspension or withdrawal of the Bank's credit rating. The Company does not offset assets and liabilities under these agreements for financial reporting purposes. Currently, there are no circumstances that would trigger these provisions of the agreements. Information on interest rate swaps subject to master netting agreements is as follows at the dates indicated (in thousands):

				March 31, 20	15				
			Gross Amounts	Net Amounts		Gross Amour Balan			
	<u> </u>	Gross Amounts Recognized	ffset in Balance Sheet	 Presented in Balance Sheet		Derivative Instruments	 Collateral Pledged	Ne	Amount
Derivative assets	\$	512	\$ _	\$ 512	\$	(512)	\$ _	\$	_
Derivative liabilities		(89,038)		(89,038)		512	88,526		
	\$	(88,526)	\$ _	\$ (88,526)	\$	_	\$ 88,526	\$	_

			December 31, 2	2014				
		Gross Amounts	Net Amounts		Gross Amour Balan			
	 Gross Amounts Recognized	 Offset in Balance Sheet	Presented in Balance Sheet		Derivative Instruments	Collateral Pledged	Ne	t Amount
Derivative assets	\$ 4,143	\$ _	\$ 4,143	\$	(4,143)	\$ _	\$	_
Derivative liabilities	(69,117)	_	(69,117)		4,143	64,974		_
	\$ (64,974)	\$ _	\$ (64,974)	\$		\$ 64,974	\$	_

The difference between the amounts reported for interest rate swaps subject to master netting agreements and the total fair value of interest rate contract derivative financial instruments reported in the consolidated balance sheets is related to interest rate contracts entered into with borrowers not subject to master netting agreements.

At March 31, 2015, the Company has pledged investment securities available for sale with a carrying amount of \$67 million and cash on deposit of \$46 million as collateral for interest rate swaps in a liability position. No financial collateral was pledged by counterparties to the Company for interest rate swaps in an asset position. The amount of collateral required to be posted by the Company varies based on the settlement value of outstanding swaps and in some cases may include initial margin requirements.

The Company enters into commitments to fund residential mortgage loans with the intention that these loans will subsequently be sold into the secondary market. A mortgage loan commitment binds the Company to lend funds to a potential borrower at a specified interest rate within a specified period of time, generally 30 to 75 days. These commitments are considered derivative instruments. The notional amount of outstanding mortgage loan commitment derivatives was \$7 million at March 31, 2015 and \$3 million at December 31, 2014. Outstanding derivative loan commitments expose the Company to the risk that the price of the loans arising from exercise of the commitments might decline from inception of the commitment to funding of the loan. To protect against the price risk inherent in derivative loan commitments, the Company utilizes "best efforts" forward loan sale commitments. Under a "best efforts" contract, the Company commits to deliver an individual mortgage loan to an investor if the loan to the underlying borrower closes. Generally, the price the investor will pay the Company for a loan is specified prior to the loan being funded. These commitments are considered derivative instruments once the underlying loans are funded. The notional amount of forward loan sale commitment derivatives was \$1.9 million and \$1.4 million at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. The fair value of loan commitment and forward sale commitment derivatives was nominal at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

Note 8 Stockholders' Equity

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

Changes in AOCI are summarized as follows for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,																									
				2015			2014																			
		Before Tax		Tax Effect	Net of Tax		Before Tax		ore Tax Tax		1	Net of Tax														
Unrealized gains on investment securities available for sale:																										
Net unrealized holding gain arising during the period	\$	23,149	\$	(10,162)	\$	12,987	\$	21,835	\$	(8,424)	\$	13,411														
Amounts reclassified to gain on investment securities available for sale, net		(2,022)		799		(1,223)		(361)		139		(222)														
Net change in unrealized gains on investment securities available for sale		21,127		(9,363)		11,764		21,474		(8,285)		13,189														
Unrealized losses on derivative instruments:																										
Net unrealized holding loss arising during the period		(20,687)		7,980		(12,707)		(7,450)		2,874		(4,576)														
Amounts reclassified to interest expense on deposits		1,420		(548)		872		1,395		(538)		857														
Amounts reclassified to interest expense on borrowings		5,123		(1,976)		3,147		5,154		(1,988)		3,166														
Net change in unrealized losses on derivative instruments		(14,144)		5,456		(8,688)		(901)		348		(553)														
Other comprehensive income	\$	6,983	\$	(3,907)	\$	3,076	\$	20,573	\$	(7,937)	\$	12,636														

The categories of AOCI and changes therein are presented below for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	1	Unrealized Gains on Investment Securities Available for Sale	Unrealized Losses on Derivative Instruments			Total
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$	68,322	\$	(21,970)	\$	46,352
Other comprehensive income (loss)		11,764		(8,688)		3,076
Balance at March 31, 2015	\$	80,086	\$	(30,658)	\$	49,428
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$	68,753	\$	(11,273)	\$	57,480
Other comprehensive income (loss)		13,189		(553)		12,636
Balance at March 31, 2014	\$	81,942	\$	(11,826)	\$	70,116

Note 9 Equity Based Compensation

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company granted 545,455 unvested share awards under the BankUnited, Inc. 2010 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (the "2010 Plan"). All of the shares vest in equal annual installments over a period of three years from the date of grant. The shares granted were valued at the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant of \$31.35 and had an aggregate fair value of \$17.1 million. The total unrecognized compensation cost of \$27.9 million for all unvested share awards outstanding at March 31, 2015 will be recognized over a weighted average remaining period of 2.26 years.

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the Company granted 620,180 unvested share awards. All of the shares vest in equal annual installments over a period of three years from the date of grant. The shares granted were valued at the

closing price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant, ranging from \$31.44 to \$33.78, for a weighted average grant date fair value of \$31.82 and an aggregate fair value of \$17.2 million.

Note 10 Fair Value Measurements

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis

Following is a description of the methodologies used to estimate the fair values of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and the level within the fair value hierarchy in which those measurements are typically classified.

Investment securities available for sale—Fair value measurements are based on quoted prices in active markets when available; these measurements are classified within level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. These securities typically include U.S. Treasury securities and certain preferred stocks. If quoted prices in active markets are not available, fair values are estimated using quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, quoted prices of identical securities in less active markets, discounted cash flow techniques, or matrix pricing models. These securities are generally classified within level 2 of the fair value hierarchy and include U.S. Government agency securities, U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise mortgage-backed securities, preferred stock investments for which level 1 valuations are not available, corporate debt securities, non-mortgage asset-backed securities, single family rental real estate-backed securities, certain private label residential mortgage-backed securities and CMOs, Re-Remics, private label commercial mortgage-backed securities, collateralized loan obligations and state and municipal obligations. Pricing of these securities is generally primarily spread driven. Observable inputs that may impact the valuation of these securities include benchmark yield curves, credit spreads, reported trades, dealer quotes, bids, issuer spreads, current rating, historical constant prepayment rates, historical voluntary prepayment rates, structural and waterfall features of individual securities, published collateral data, and for certain securities, historical constant default rates and default severities. Investment securities available for sale generally classified within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy include certain private label mortgage-backed securities and trust preferred securities. The Company typically values these securities using third-party proprietary pricing models, primarily discounted cash flow valuation techniques, which incorporate both observable and uno

Derivative financial instruments—Interest rate swaps are predominantly traded in over-the-counter markets and, as such, values are determined using widely accepted discounted cash flow modeling techniques. These discounted cash flow models use projections of future cash payments and receipts that are discounted at mid-market rates. Observable inputs that may impact the valuation of these instruments include LIBOR swap rates and LIBOR forward yield curves. These fair value measurements are generally classified within level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Loan commitment derivatives are priced based on a bid pricing convention adjusted based on the Company's historical fallout rates. Fallout rates are a significant unobservable input; therefore, these fair value measurements are classified within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The fair value of loan commitment derivatives is nominal.

The following tables present assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	March 31, 2015										
		Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		Total			
Investment securities available for sale:											
U.S. Treasury securities	\$	55,270	\$	_	\$	_	\$	55,270			
U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities		_		1,362,579		_		1,362,579			
U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise commercial mortgage- backed securities		_		102,352		_		102,352			
Re-Remics		_		164,488		_		164,488			
Private label residential mortgage-backed securities and CMOs		_		213,335		162,984		376,319			
Private label commercial mortgage-backed securities		_		965,112		_		965,112			
Single family rental real estate-backed securities		_		556,256		_		556,256			
Collateralized loan obligations		_		309,677		_		309,677			
Non-mortgage asset-backed securities		_		115,545		_		115,545			
Preferred stocks		105,741		713		_		106,454			
State and municipal obligations		_		31,058		_		31,058			
Small Business Administration securities		_		301,639		_		301,639			
Other debt securities		_		3,295		4,700		7,995			
Derivative assets		_		34,189		118		34,307			
Total assets at fair value	\$	161,011	\$	4,160,238	\$	167,802	\$	4,489,051			
Derivative liabilities	\$	_	\$	89,051	\$	3	\$	89,054			
Total liabilities at fair value	\$	_	\$	89,051	\$	3	\$	89,054			

	December 31, 2014										
		Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		Total			
Investment securities available for sale:											
U.S. Treasury securities	\$	54,967	\$	_	\$	_	\$	54,967			
U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities		_		1,524,716		_		1,524,716			
U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise commercial mortgage-backed securities	1	_		101,858		_		101,858			
Re-Remics		_		183,272		_		183,272			
Private label residential mortgage-backed securities and CMOs		_		235,902		168,077		403,979			
Private label commercial mortgage-backed securities		_		1,139,389		_		1,139,389			
Single family rental real estate-backed securities		_		443,017		_		443,017			
Collateralized loan obligations		_		174,332		_		174,332			
Non-mortgage asset-backed securities		_		122,164		_		122,164			
Preferred stocks		104,754		688		_		105,442			
State and municipal obligations		_		15,702		_		15,702			
Small Business Administration securities		_		308,728		_		308,728			
Other debt securities		_		3,210		4,918		8,128			
Derivative assets		_		29,765		49		29,814			
Total assets at fair value	\$	159,721	\$	4,282,743	\$	173,044	\$	4,615,508			
Derivative liabilities	\$	_	\$	69,177	\$	1	\$	69,178			
Total liabilities at fair value	\$		\$	69,177	\$	1	\$	69,178			

There were no transfers of financial assets between levels of the fair value hierarchy during the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014.

The following table reconciles changes in the fair value of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and classified in level 3 of the fair value hierarchy for the periods indicated (in thousands):

							Thre	e Months l	Ende	d March 31,														
	2015									2014														
	I Mor	rivate Label Residential rtgage-Backed Securities		ther Debt ecurities	Deri	ivative Assets		rivative abilities		Private Label Residential ortgage-Backed Securities	Other Debt Securities										Deriv	ative Assets		ivative bilities
Balance at beginning of period	\$	168,077	\$	4,918	\$	49	\$	(1)	\$	199,408	\$	4,601	\$	35	\$	(3)								
Gains (losses) for the period included in:																								
Net income		_		_		69		(2)		_		_		16		3								
Other comprehensive income (loss)		(1,110)		(232)		_		_		792		(7)		_		_								
Discount accretion		1,709		29		_		_		1,883		101		_		_								
Purchases or issuances		_		_		_		_		_		_		_		_								
Sales		_		_		_		_		(7,787)		_		_		_								
Settlements		(5,692)		(15)		_		_		(7,377)		(63)		_		_								
Transfers into level 3		_		_		_		_		_		_		_		_								
Transfers out of level 3		_		_		_		_		_		_		_		_								
Balance at end of period	\$	162,984	\$	4,700	\$	118	\$	(3)	\$	186,919	\$	4,632	\$	51	\$	_								

Changes in the fair value of derivatives are included in the consolidated statement of income line item "Other non-interest income."

Securities for which fair value measurements are categorized in level 3 of the fair value hierarchy at March 31, 2015 consisted of pooled trust preferred securities with a fair value of \$5 million and private label residential mortgage-backed securities and CMOs with a fair value of \$163 million. The trust preferred securities are not material to the Company's financial statements. Private label residential mortgage-backed securities consisted of senior and mezzanine tranches collateralized by prime fixed rate and hybrid 1-4 single family residential mortgages originated before 2005, some of which contain option-arm features. Substantially all of these securities have variable rate coupons. Weighted average subordination levels at March 31, 2015 were 16.0%, 10.8% and 1.7% for investment grade, non-investment grade and option-arm securities, respectively. There were \$31 million of option-arm securities with a subordination level of zero at March 31, 2015.

The following table provides information about the valuation techniques and unobservable inputs used in the valuation of private label residential mortgage-backed securities and CMOs falling within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy as of March 31, 2015 (dollars in thousands):

	 r Value at ch 31, 2015	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted Average)
Investment grade	\$ 83,721	Discounted cash flow	Voluntary prepayment rate	3.47% - 10.98% (8.74%)
			Probability of default	0.02% - 8.49% (2.35%)
			Loss severity	0.00% - 45.73% (2.53%)
Non-investment grade	\$ 45,927	Discounted cash flow	Voluntary prepayment rate	4.61% - 12.59% (7.69%)
			Probability of default	0.01% - 20.15% (2.98%)
			Loss severity	0.00% - 44.41% (2.41%)
Option-arm (non-investment grade)	\$ 33,336	Discounted cash flow	Voluntary prepayment rate	2.76% - 2.97% (2.81%)
			Probability of default	3.71% - 4.33% (4.17%)
			Loss severity	10.89% - 34.18% (16.90%)

The significant unobservable inputs impacting the fair value measurement of private label residential mortgage-backed securities and CMOs include voluntary prepayment rates, probability of default and loss severity given default. Generally, increases in probability of default or loss severity would result in a lower fair value measurement. Alternatively, decreases in probability of default or loss severity would result in a higher fair value measurement. For securities with less favorable credit characteristics, decreases in voluntary prepayment speeds may be interpreted as a deterioration in the overall credit quality of the underlying collateral and as such, lead to lower fair value measurements. The fair value measurements of those securities with higher levels of subordination will be less sensitive to changes in these unobservable inputs, while securities with lower levels of subordination will show a higher degree of sensitivity to changes in these unobservable inputs. Generally, a change in the assumption used for probability of default is accompanied by a directionally similar change in the assumption used for loss severity given default and a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for voluntary prepayment rate.

The Company uses third-party pricing services in determining fair value measurements for investment securities. To obtain an understanding of the methodologies and assumptions used, management reviews written documentation provided by the pricing services, conducts interviews with valuation desk personnel and reviews model results and detailed assumptions used to value selected securities as considered necessary. Management has established a robust price challenge process that includes a review by the treasury front office of all prices provided on a monthly basis. Any price evidencing unexpected month over month fluctuations or deviations from expectations is challenged. If considered necessary to resolve any discrepancies, a price will be obtained from an additional independent valuation source. The Company does not typically adjust the prices provided, other than through this established challenge process. The results of price challenges are subject to review by executive management. The Company has also established a quarterly process whereby prices provided by its primary pricing service for a sample of securities are validated. When there are price discrepancies, the final determination of fair value is based on careful consideration of the assumptions and inputs employed by each of the pricing sources.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis

Following is a description of the methodologies used to estimate the fair values of assets and liabilities that may be measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis, and the level within the fair value hierarchy in which those measurements are typically classified.

Impaired loans and OREO - The carrying amount of collateral dependent impaired loans is typically based on the fair value of the underlying collateral, which may be real estate or other business assets, less estimated costs to sell. The carrying value of OREO is initially measured based on the fair value of the real estate acquired in foreclosure and subsequently adjusted to the lower of cost or estimated fair value, less estimated cost to sell. Fair values of real estate collateral are typically based on real estate appraisals which utilize market and income approaches to valuation incorporating both observable and unobservable inputs. When current appraisals are not available, the Company may use brokers' price opinions, home price indices or other available information about changes in real estate market conditions to adjust the latest appraised value available. These adjustments to appraised values may be subjective and involve significant management judgment. The fair value of collateral

consisting of other business assets is generally based on appraisals that use market approaches to valuation incorporating primarily unobservable inputs. Fair value measurements related to collateral dependent impaired loans and OREO are classified within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Mortgage Servicing Rights - Fair value is estimated using a discounted cash flow technique that incorporates market-based assumptions including estimated prepayment speeds, contractual servicing fees, cost to service, discount rates, escrow account earnings, ancillary income, and estimated defaults. No adjustments to fair value were recognized during the three months ended March 31, 2014.

The following tables present assets for which non-recurring changes in fair value have been recorded for the periods indicated (in thousands):

				Losses from Fair Value Changes						
	_	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		Total	Three	Months Ended March 31, 2015
OREO	\$	_	\$	_	\$	6,173	\$	6,173	\$	(565)
Impaired loans	\$	_	\$	_	\$	13,776	\$	13,776	\$	(3,543)
Mortgage servicing rights	\$	_	\$	5,245	\$	_	\$	5,245	\$	(165)
		March 31, 2014								Gains from Fair Value Changes
	_	Level 1	Level 2		Level 3			Total	Three	Months Ended March 31, 2014
OREO	\$	_	\$	_	\$	29,569	\$	29,569	\$	64
Impaired loans	\$	_	\$	_	\$	5,222	\$	5,222	\$	299

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - UNAUDITED March 31, 2015

The following table presents the carrying value and fair value of financial instruments and the level within the fair value hierarchy in which those measurements are classified at the dates indicated (dollars in thousands):

			March	31, 2	015		December 31, 2014				
	Level	C	Carrying Value		Fair Value	Carrying Value			Fair Value		
Assets:											
Cash and cash equivalents	1	\$	304,488	\$	304,488	\$	187,517	\$	187,517		
Investment securities available for sale	1/2/3		4,454,744		4,454,744		4,585,694		4,585,694		
Investment securities held to maturity	3		10,000		10,000		10,000		10,000		
Non-marketable equity securities	2		183,949		183,949		191,674		191,674		
Loans held for sale	2		1,865		1,881		1,399		1,445		
Loans:											
Covered	3		984,973		1,730,056		1,039,672		1,763,132		
Non-covered	3		12,154,446		12,383,093		11,279,555		11,443,408		
FDIC Indemnification asset	3		912,923		516,420		974,704		595,847		
Accrued interest receivable	2		37,932		37,932		32,636		32,636		
Derivative assets	2/3		34,307		34,307		29,814		29,814		
Liabilities:											
Demand, savings and money market deposits	2	\$	10,144,175	\$	10,144,175	\$	9,509,830	\$	9,509,830		
Time deposits	2		4,117,064		4,129,182		4,001,925		4,017,476		
FHLB advances and other borrowings	2		3,293,678		3,297,343		3,318,559		3,320,338		
Accrued interest payable	2		2,404		2,404		1,997		1,997		
Derivative liabilities	2/3		89,054		89,054		69,178		69,178		

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments, other than those described above:

The carrying amounts of certain financial instruments approximate fair value due to their short-term nature and generally negligible credit risk. These financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, accrued interest receivable and accrued interest payable.

Investment securities held to maturity:

Investment securities held to maturity includes one bond issued by the State of Israel, with fair value obtained from a third party pricing service.

Non-marketable equity securities:

Non-marketable equity securities include FHLB and FRB stock. There is no market for these securities, which can be liquidated only by redemption by the issuer. These securities are carried at par, which has historically represented the redemption price and is therefore considered to approximate fair value. Non-marketable equity securities are evaluated quarterly for potential impairment.

Loans held for sale:

The fair value of conforming loans originated and held for sale is based on pricing currently available to the Company in the secondary market.

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - UNAUDITED March 31, 2015

ACI and non-ACI loans:

Fair values are estimated based on a discounted cash flow analysis. Estimates of future cash flows incorporate various factors that may include the type of loan and related collateral, estimated collateral values, estimated default probability and loss severity given default, internal risk rating, whether the interest rate is fixed or variable, term of loan and whether or not the loan is amortizing. The fair values of loans accounted for in pools are estimated on a pool basis. Other loans may be grouped based on risk characteristics and fair value estimated in the aggregate when applying discounted cash flow valuation techniques. Discount rates for residential loans are based on estimated yields obtained from participants active in the secondary market. Discount rates for commercial loans reflect indicative yields based on pricing obtained in the commercial loan sale in March 2014, adjusted for changes in market rates subsequent to the sale.

New loans:

Fair values of residential loans are estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis with discount rates based on yields at which similar loans are trading in the secondary market, which reflect assumptions about credit risk. Fair values of commercial and consumer loans are estimated using a discounted cash flow analysis with discount rates based on interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality. The ALLL related to commercial and consumer loans is considered a reasonable estimate of the required adjustment to fair value to reflect the impact of credit risk. This estimate may not represent an exit value as defined in ASC 820.

FDIC indemnification asset:

The fair value of the FDIC indemnification asset has been estimated using a discounted cash flow technique incorporating assumptions about the timing and amount of future projected cash payments from the FDIC related to the resolution of covered assets. The factors that impact estimates of future cash flows are similar to those impacting estimated cash flows from covered loans. The discount rate is determined by adjusting the risk free rate to incorporate uncertainty in the estimate of the timing and amount of future cash flows and illiquidity.

Deposits:

The fair value of demand deposits, savings accounts and money market deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of time deposits is estimated using a discounted cash flow technique based on rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Federal Home Loan Bank advances:

Fair value is estimated by discounting contractual future cash flows using the current rate at which borrowings with similar terms and remaining maturities could be obtained by the Company.

Note 11 Commitments and Contingencies

The Company issues off-balance sheet financial instruments to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to fund loans, unfunded commitments under existing lines of credit, and commercial and standby letters of credit. These commitments expose the Company to varying degrees of credit and market risk which are essentially the same as those involved in extending loans to customers, and are subject to the same credit policies used in underwriting loans. Collateral may be obtained based on the Company's credit evaluation of the counterparty. The Company's maximum exposure to credit loss is represented by the contractual amount of these commitments. Certain amounts funded under non-cancellable commitments in effect at the date of the FSB Acquisition are covered under the Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement if prescribed conditions are met.

Commitments to fund loans:

These are agreements to lend funds to customers as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments to fund loans generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Many of these commitments are expected to expire without being funded and, therefore, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future liquidity requirements.

BANKUNITED, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - UNAUDITED March 31, 2015

Unfunded commitments under lines of credit:

Unfunded commitments under lines of credit include commercial, commercial real estate, home equity and consumer lines of credit to existing customers. Some of these commitments may mature without being fully funded.

Commercial and standby letters of credit:

Letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These letters of credit are primarily issued to support trade transactions or guarantee arrangements. Fees collected on standby letters of credit represent the fair value of those commitments and are deferred and amortized over their term, which is typically one year or less. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers.

Total lending related commitments outstanding at March 31, 2015 were as follows (in thousands):

	C	Covered	ľ	Non-Covered	Total
Commitments to fund loans	\$		\$	461,495	\$ 461,495
Commitments to purchase loans		_		243,871	243,871
Unfunded commitments under lines of credit		21,580		1,230,593	1,252,173
Commercial and standby letters of credit		_		49,794	49,794
	\$	21,580	\$	1,985,753	\$ 2,007,333

Legal Proceedings

The Company is involved as plaintiff or defendant in various legal actions arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, based upon advice of legal counsel, the likelihood is remote that the impact of these proceedings, either individually or in the aggregate, would be material to the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Note 12 Subsequent Events

On May 1, 2015, BankUnited acquired the Small Business Finance Unit ("SBF") of CertusHoldings, Inc. in an asset purchase transaction for a cash purchase price of \$279 million, subject to adjustment as provided for in the Asset Purchase Agreement. BankUnited acquired the SBF loan portfolio, with a pre-acquisition carrying amount of approximately \$245 million, as well as substantially all of SBF's operating assets, and assumed certain of its operating liabilities. The Company expects the acquisition of SBF to be accounted for as a business combination using the acquisition method of accounting. The valuation of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed has not yet been completed. Financial statements of SBF and pro forma financial information are not required to be presented due to the immateriality of this acquisition to the Company's consolidated financial position and results of operations.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis is intended to focus on significant changes in the financial condition and results of operations of the Company during the three months ended March 31, 2015 and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and BKU's 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 (the "2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K").

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 that reflect the Company's current views with respect to, among other things, future events and financial performance. Words such as "anticipates," "expects," "intends," "plans," "believes," "seeks," "estimates" and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based on the historical performance of the Company or on the Company's current plans, estimates and expectations. The inclusion of this forward-looking information should not be regarded as a representation by the Company that the future plans, estimates or expectations so contemplated will be achieved. Such forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties and assumptions relating to the Company's operations, financial results, financial condition, business prospects, growth strategy and liquidity. If one or more of these or other risks or uncertainties materialize, or if the Company's underlying assumptions prove to be incorrect, the Company's actual results may vary materially from those indicated in these statements. A number of important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated by the forward-looking statements, including, but not limited to, the risk factors described in Part I, Item 1A of the 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Company does not undertake any obligation to publicly update or review any forward looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise.

Quarterly Highlights

In evaluating our financial performance, we consider the level of and trends in net interest income, the net interest margin, levels and composition of non-interest income and non-interest expense, performance ratios such as the return on average assets and return on average equity and asset quality ratios, particularly for the non-covered portfolio, including the ratio of non-performing loans to total loans, non-performing assets to total assets, and portfolio delinquency and charge-off trends. We consider growth in the loan portfolio by region and product type, deposit growth, trends in funding mix and cost of funds. We analyze these ratios and trends against our own historical performance, our budgeted performance and the financial condition and performance of comparable financial institutions.

Performance highlights include:

- In March 2015, the Company entered into an agreement with CertusHoldings, Inc. to acquire Certus' Small Business Finance Unit.
- Net income for the quarter ended March 31, 2015 was \$46.5 million or \$0.44 per diluted share as compared to \$55.3 million or \$0.53 per diluted share, for the quarter ended March 31, 2014. Earnings for for the quarter ended March 31, 2015 generated a return on average stockholders' equity of 9.02% and a return on average assets of 0.96%.
- New loans and leases, including equipment under operating lease, grew by \$943 million during the first quarter of 2015.
- Total deposits increased by \$749 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2015 to \$14.3 billion. Deposits in New York grew by \$536 million to \$2.2 billion.
- Net interest income increased by \$6.3 million to \$172.7 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2015 from \$166.5 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2014. Interest income increased by \$11.3 million primarily as a result of an increase in the average balance of loans outstanding. Interest expense increased by \$5.1 million due primarily to an increase in average interest bearing liabilities.
- The net interest margin, calculated on a tax-equivalent basis, was 4.02% for the quarter ended March 31, 2015 compared to 5.05% for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 and 4.26% for the immediately preceding quarter ended December 31, 2014. The net interest margin continues to be impacted by the origination of new loans at current market yields lower than those on loans acquired in the FSB Acquisition.
- Asset quality remained strong, with a ratio of non-performing, non-covered assets to total assets of 0.21% and a ratio of non-performing, non-covered loans to total non-covered loans of 0.34% at March 31, 2015. The ratio of non-

performing assets to total assets was 0.31% and the ratio of non-performing loans to total loans was 0.38% at March 31, 2015.

- The Company's capital ratios exceeded all regulatory "well capitalized" guidelines, with a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 10.3%, a Common Equity Tier 1 ("CET1") risk-based capital ratio of 14.9%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 14.9% and a Total risk-based capital ratio of 15.7% at March 31, 2015.
- Book value and tangible book value per common share grew to \$20.46 and \$19.80, respectively, at March 31, 2015.

Results of Operations

Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the difference between interest earned on interest earning assets and interest incurred on interest bearing liabilities and is the primary driver of core earnings. Net interest income is impacted by the relative mix of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities, the ratio of interest earning assets to total assets and of interest bearing liabilities to total funding sources, movements in market interest rates, levels of non-performing assets and pricing pressure from competitors.

The mix of interest earning assets is influenced by loan demand, market and competitive conditions in our primary lending markets and by management's continual assessment of the rate of return and relative risk associated with various classes of earning assets. The mix of interest bearing liabilities is influenced by management's assessment of the need for lower cost funding sources weighed against relationships with customers and growth requirements and is impacted by competition for deposits in the Company's markets and the availability and pricing of other sources of funds.

Net interest income is also impacted by the accounting for ACI loans and to a declining extent, the accretion of fair value adjustments recorded in conjunction with the FSB Acquisition. ACI loans were initially recorded at fair value, measured based on the present value of expected cash flows. The excess of expected cash flows over carrying value, known as accretable yield, is recognized as interest income over the lives of the underlying loans. The positive impact of accretion related to ACI loans on the net interest margin and the interest rate spread is expected to continue to decline as ACI loans comprise a declining percentage of total loans. The proportion of total loans represented by ACI loans is declining as the ACI loans are resolved and new loans are added to the portfolio. ACI loans represented 7.1% and 8.0%, of total loans, net of premiums, discounts and deferred fees and costs, at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. As this trend continues, we expect our net interest margin and interest rate spread to continue to decrease, although at a declining rate.

Consideration received earlier than expected or in excess of expected cash flows may result in a pool of ACI residential loans becoming fully amortized and its carrying value reduced to zero even though outstanding contractual balances and expected cash flows remain related to loans in the pool. Once the carrying value of a pool is reduced to zero, any future proceeds from the remaining loans, representing further realization of accretable yield, are recognized as interest income upon receipt. The carrying value of one pool has been reduced to zero. The UPB of loans remaining in this pool was insignificant at March 31, 2015.

The impact of accretion and ACI loan accounting on net interest income makes it difficult to compare our net interest margin and interest rate spread to those reported by other financial institutions.

The following table presents, for the periods indicated, information about (i) average balances, the total dollar amount of taxable equivalent interest income from earning assets and the resultant average yields; (ii) average balances, the total dollar amount of interest expense on interest bearing liabilities and the resultant average rates; (iii) net interest income; (iv) the interest rate spread; and (v) the net interest margin. Non-accrual and restructured loans are included in the average balances presented in this table; however, interest income foregone on non-accrual loans is not included. Interest income, yields, spread and margin have been calculated on a tax-equivalent basis (dollars in thousands):

	 Three Months Ended March 31,										
			2015		2014						
	Average Balance		Interest(1)	Yield/ Rate(1) (2)		Average Balance		Interest(1)	Yield/ Rate(1) (2)		
Assets:											
Interest earning assets:											
Loans	\$ 12,694,336	\$	174,903	5.54%	\$	9,487,617	\$	166,126	7.04%		
Investment securities (3)	4,484,921		28,997	2.59%		3,622,388		25,453	2.81%		
Other interest earning assets	 487,903		2,283	1.89%		357,535		1,953	2.21%		
Total interest earning assets	17,667,160		206,183	4.69%		13,467,540		193,532	5.77%		
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(97,859)					(72,566)					
Non-interest earning assets	 1,962,851	_				1,984,935					
Total assets	\$ 19,532,152	_			\$	15,379,909					
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity:											
Interest bearing liabilities:											
Interest bearing demand deposits	\$ 909,719		1,044	0.47%	\$	686,998		708	0.42%		
Savings and money market deposits	6,115,248		7,759	0.51%		4,655,143		5,376	0.47%		
Time deposits	 4,041,652		11,201	1.12%		3,347,334		10,011	1.21%		
Total interest bearing deposits	11,066,619		20,004	0.73%		8,689,475		16,095	0.75%		
FHLB advances and other borrowings	 3,370,800		9,150	1.10%		2,426,109		8,003	1.34%		
Total interest bearing liabilities	14,437,419		29,154	0.82%		11,115,584		24,098	0.88%		
Non-interest bearing demand deposits	2,742,683					2,139,414					
Other non-interest bearing liabilities	 263,806	_				160,110					
Total liabilities	17,443,908					13,415,108					
Stockholders' equity	 2,088,244	_				1,964,801					
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 19,532,152				\$	15,379,909					
Net interest income		\$	177,029				\$	169,434			
Interest rate spread				3.87%					4.89%		
Net interest margin				4.02%					5.05%		

⁽¹⁾ On a tax-equivalent basis where applicable

Net interest income, calculated on a tax-equivalent basis, was \$177.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to \$169.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014, an increase of \$7.6 million. The increase in net interest income was comprised of an increase in interest income of \$12.7 million, offset by an increase in interest expense of \$5.1 million.

The increase in tax-equivalent interest income resulted primarily from an \$8.8 million increase in interest income from loans and a \$3.5 million increase in interest income from investment securities.

Increased interest income from loans was attributable to a \$3.2 billion increase in the average balance outstanding partially offset by a 1.50% decrease in the tax-equivalent yield to 5.54% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from 7.04% for the three months ended March 31, 2014. Offsetting factors contributing to the overall decline in the yield on loans included:

• New loans originated at lower market rates of interest comprised a greater percentage of the portfolio for the three months ended March 31, 2015 than for the comparable period in 2014. New loans represented 91.2% of the average balance of loans outstanding for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to 84.7% for the three months

⁽²⁾ Annualized

⁽³⁾ At fair value except for securities held to maturity

ended March 31, 2014. We expect the impact of growth of the new loan portfolio to lead to further declines in the overall yield on loans.

- The tax-equivalent yield on new loans declined to 3.48% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from 3.60% for the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily reflecting the addition of loans to the portfolio at lower market rates.
- Interest income on loans acquired in the FSB Acquisition totaled \$72.7 million and \$94.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The tax-equivalent yield on those loans increased to 26.27% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from 26.07% for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in the yield on loans acquired in the FSB Acquisition resulted from improvements in the timing and amount of expected cash flows and corresponding transfers from non-accretable difference to accretable yield for ACI loans, offset in part by decreases in the amount of interest income recognized in connection with the sale of ACI residential loans from the pool with a carrying value of zero and commercial ACI loan resolutions. Interest income on loans included zero and \$8.5 million in proceeds from sales of loans from the zero carrying value pool and \$0.8 million and \$3.3 million from commercial ACI loans resolutions for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The average balance of investment securities increased by \$863 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from the three months ended March 31, 2014 while the tax-equivalent yield declined to 2.59% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from 2.81% for three months ended March 31, 2014 while the tax-equivalent yield declined to 2.59% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from 2.81% for three months ended March 31, 2014 while the tax-equivalent yield declined to 2.59% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from 2.81% for three months ended March 31, 2016 from 2.81% for three months ended March 31, 2017 from 2.81% for three months ended March 31, 2018 from 2.81% for three months ended March 31, 2019 from 2.81% for three months ended March 31, 2019 from 2.81% for three months ended March 31, 2019 from 2.81% for three months ended March 31, 2019 from 2.81% for three months ended March 31, 2019 from 2.81% for three months ended March 31, 2019 from 2.81% for three months ended March 31, 2019 from 2.81% for three months ended March 31, 2019 from 2.81% for three months ended March 31, 2019 from 2.81% for three months ended March 31, 2019 from 2.81% for three months ended March 31, 2019 from 2.81% from 2.8

The components of the increase in interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014 were a \$3.9 million increase in interest expense on deposits and a \$1.1 million increase in interest expense on FHLB advances and other borrowings. The most significant factor contributing to the increase in interest expense on deposits was an increase of \$2.4 billion in average interest bearing deposits. This was partially offset by a decline in market interest rates on time deposits, leading to a decrease in the average rate paid on interest bearing deposits to 0.73% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from 0.75% for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

The increase in interest expense on FHLB advances and other borrowings was driven by a increase in the average balance of \$945 million, offset by a decrease in the average rate paid on these borrowings. The average rate paid on FHLB advances and other borrowings, inclusive of the impact of cash flow hedges and fair value accretion, declined by 0.24% to 1.10% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from 1.34% for the three months ended March 31, 2014. This decline reflected the impact of the maturity of higher rate advances and the addition of new advances at lower market interest rates.

The net interest margin, calculated on a tax-equivalent basis, for the three months ended March 31, 2015 was 4.02% as compared to 5.05% for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The interest rate spread decreased to 3.87% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from 4.89% for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The declines in net interest margin and interest rate spread resulted primarily from lower yields on loans and investment securities partly offset by a lower cost of deposits and borrowings, as discussed above. We expect the net interest margin and interest rate spread to continue to decline as the composition of the loan portfolio shifts away from higher yielding loans acquired in the FSB Acquisition into new loans originated at lower current market rates of interest.

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses is the amount of expense that, based on our judgment, is required to maintain the ALLL at an adequate level to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio at the balance sheet date and that, in management's judgment, is appropriate under U.S. GAAP. The determination of the amount of the ALLL is complex and involves a high degree of judgment and subjectivity. Our determination of the amount of the allowance and corresponding provision for loan losses considers ongoing evaluations of the credit quality of and level of credit risk inherent in various segments of the loan portfolio and of individually significant credits, levels of non-performing loans and charge-offs, statistical trends and economic and other relevant factors. See "Analysis of the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses" below for more information about how we determine the appropriate level of the allowance.

For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, we recorded provisions for loan losses of \$8.6 million and \$7.6 million, respectively, related to new loans. The amount of the provision is impacted by loan growth, historical loss rates, the level of charge-offs and specific reserves for impaired loans, and management's evaluation of qualitative factors in the determination of general reserves. See the section entitled "Analysis of the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses" below for further discussion.

An ALLL is established related to ACI loans when quarterly evaluations of expected cash flows indicate it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all of the cash flows expected at acquisition plus any additional cash flows expected to be collected arising from changes in estimate after acquisition. An allowance for non-ACI loans is established if factors

considered relevant by management indicate that additional losses have arisen on non-ACI loans subsequent to the FSB Acquisition.

As discussed below in the section entitled "Non-interest income," the impact on our results of operations of any provision for (recovery of) loan losses on covered loans is significantly mitigated by the corresponding impact on non-interest income. For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, we recorded (recoveries of) provisions for losses on covered loans of \$(0.5) million and \$0.8 million and (reductions) increases in related non-interest income of \$(0.4) million and \$0.6 million, respectively.

Non-Interest Income

The Company reported non-interest income of \$20.7 million and \$30.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. A significant portion of our non-interest income relates to the covered assets, including the resolution of assets covered by our Loss Sharing Agreements with the FDIC and gains and losses on the covered assets. We have broken out the significant categories of non-interest income that relate to covered assets in the table below, to assist in the comparison of the amount and composition of our non-interest income with that of other financial institutions of our size.

The following table presents a comparison of the categories of non-interest income for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,					
		2015		2014		
Income from resolution of covered assets, net	\$	15,154	\$	13,061		
Net loss on FDIC indemnification		(20,265)		(16,904)		
FDIC reimbursement of costs of resolution of covered assets		707		1,128		
Gain on sale of covered loans, net		10,006		19,294		
Mortgage insurance income and modification incentives		968		1,592		
Non-interest income from covered assets		6,570		18,171		
Service charges and fees		4,451		4,005		
Gain on sale of non-covered loans		160		38		
Gain on investment securities available for sale, net		2,022		361		
Lease financing		6,237		3,871		
Other non-interest income		1,301		3,744		
	\$	20,741	\$	30,190		

Non-interest income related to transactions in the covered assets

Historically, a significant portion of our non-interest income has resulted from transactions related to the resolution of assets covered by our Loss Sharing Agreements with the FDIC. As covered assets continue to decline, we expect the net impact of these transactions on results of operations to decrease.

The balance of the FDIC indemnification asset is reduced or increased as a result of decreases or increases in cash flows expected to be received from the FDIC related to the gains or losses recorded in our consolidated financial statements from transactions in the covered assets. When these transaction gains or losses are recorded, we also record an offsetting amount in the consolidated statement of income line item "Net loss on FDIC indemnification." This line item includes the significantly mitigating impact of FDIC indemnification related to the following types of transactions in covered assets:

- gains or losses from the resolution of covered assets;
- provisions for (recoveries of) losses on covered loans;
- gains or losses on the sale of covered loans; and
- gains or losses on covered OREO.

Each of these types of transactions is discussed further below.

Covered loans may be resolved through prepayment, short sale of the underlying collateral, foreclosure, sale of the loans or charge-off. For loans resolved through prepayment, short sale or foreclosure, the difference between consideration received in

resolution of the loans and the carrying value of the loans is recorded in the consolidated statement of income line item "Income from resolution of covered assets, net." Both gains and losses on individual resolutions are included in this line item. Losses from the resolution of covered loans increase the amount recoverable from the FDIC under the Loss Sharing Agreements. Gains from the resolution of covered loans reduce the amount recoverable from the FDIC under the Loss Sharing Agreements. These additions to or reductions in amounts recoverable from the FDIC related to the resolution of covered loans are recorded in non-interest income in the line item "Net loss on FDIC indemnification" and reflected as corresponding increases or decreases in the FDIC indemnification asset. The amount of income or loss recorded in any period will be impacted by the amount of covered loans resolved, the amount of consideration received, and our ability to accurately project cash flows from ACI loans in future periods.

The following table provides further detail of the components of income from resolution of covered assets, net for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	 Three Months Ended March 31,					
	 2015		2014			
Payments in full	\$ 12,296	\$	11,355			
Foreclosures	(140)		(700)			
Short sales	140		(238)			
Charge-offs	(433)		(131)			
Recoveries	3,291		2,775			
Income from resolution of covered assets, net	\$ 15,154	\$	13,061			

Under the terms of the Purchase and Assumption Agreement with the FDIC, the Bank may sell up to 2.5% of the covered loans based on UPB at the date of the FSB Acquisition, or approximately \$280 million, on an annual basis without prior consent of the FDIC. Any losses incurred from such loan sales are covered under the Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement. Any loan sale in excess of this stipulated annual threshold requires approval from the FDIC to be eligible for loss share coverage. However, if the Bank seeks to sell covered loans in excess of the 2.5% threshold in the nine months prior to the stated termination date of loss share coverage (May 21, 2019 for covered residential loans) and the FDIC refuses to consent, the Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement will be extended for two additional years with respect to the loans requested to be included in such sales. The Bank will then have the right to sell all or any portion of such loans without FDIC consent at any time within the nine months prior to the extended termination date, and any losses incurred will be covered under the Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement. This final sale mechanism, if exercised, ensures no residual credit risk in our covered loan portfolio that would otherwise arise from credit losses occurring after the termination date of the Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement.

The Company recognized net gains on the sale of covered residential loans of \$10.0 million and \$1.3 million, and related net losses on FDIC indemnification of \$8.1 million and \$0.3 million, for the quarters ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Improvement in the results of the residential loan sales in the quarter ended March 31, 2015 was a result of improved pricing, reflecting improvements in both the quality of loans sold and market conditions. We anticipate that we will continue to exercise our right to sell covered residential loans on a quarterly basis in the future.

For the quarter ended March 31, 2014, the Company recognized net gains on the sale of covered commercial and consumer loans of \$18.0 million and a related net loss on FDIC indemnification of \$4.5 million. The net loss on FDIC indemnification related to covered loan sales for the quarter ended March 31, 2014 did not bear the 80% relationship to the net gain on sale that might generally be expected primarily because indemnification is determined based on the unpaid principal balance of the loans sold rather than carrying value and because proceeds in excess of the unpaid principal balance are not subject to sharing with the FDIC.

See Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements for further information about the sales of covered loans.

Additional impairment arising since the FSB Acquisition related to covered loans is recorded in earnings through the provision for losses on covered loans. Under the terms of the Loss Sharing Agreements, the Company is entitled to recover from the FDIC a portion of losses on these loans; therefore, the discounted amount of additional expected cash flows from the FDIC related to these losses is recorded in non-interest income in the line item "Net loss on FDIC indemnification" and reflected as a corresponding increase in the FDIC indemnification asset. Alternatively, a recovery of the provision for loan losses related to covered loans results in a reduction in the amounts the Company expects to recover from the FDIC and a corresponding reduction in the FDIC indemnification asset and in non-interest income, reflected in the line item "Net loss on FDIC indemnification."

The Company records impairment charges related to declines in the net realizable value of OREO properties subject to the Loss Sharing Agreements and recognizes additional gains or losses upon the eventual sale of such OREO properties. These amounts are included in non-interest expense in the consolidated financial statements. The estimated increase or reduction in amounts recoverable from the FDIC with respect to these gains and losses is reflected as an increase or decrease in the FDIC indemnification asset and in non-interest income in the line item "Net loss on FDIC indemnification."

Net loss on FDIC indemnification of \$20.3 million and \$16.9 million was recorded for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, representing the net change in the FDIC indemnification asset from increases or decreases in cash flows estimated to be received from the FDIC related to gains and losses from covered assets as discussed in the preceding paragraphs. The net impact on earnings before taxes of these transactions related to covered assets for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 was \$4.9 million and \$17.5 million, respectively, as detailed in the following table (in thousands):

	 Three Months Ended March 31,											
			2015			2014						
	Transaction Income (Loss)				Net Impact on Pre-tax Earnings		Transaction Income (Loss)		Net Loss on FDIC Indemnification		Net Impact on Pre-tax Earnings	
Recovery of (provision for) losses on covered loans (1)	\$ 500	\$	(402)	\$	98	\$	(796)	\$	593	\$	(203)	
Income from resolution of covered assets, net	15,154		(12,122)		3,032		13,061		(10,491)		2,570	
Gain on sale of covered loans	10,006		(8,118)		1,888		19,294		(4,848)		14,446	
Gain (loss) on covered OREO	(471)		377		(94)		2,806		(2,158)		648	
	\$ 25,189	\$	(20,265)	\$	4,924	\$	34,365	\$	(16,904)	\$	17,461	

⁽¹⁾ Transaction income for the three months ended March 31, 2015 includes a recovery of \$49 thousand related to unfunded loan commitments included in other non-interest expense in the accompanying consolidated income statement.

Other components of non-interest income

Gain on investment securities available for sale, net, of \$2.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 resulted from the sale of U.S. Government agency and private label commercial mortgage-backed securities. These investment securities were sold primarily to shorten the duration of the portfolio.

Income from lease financing increased to \$6.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$3.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in income is consistent with the growth in the portfolio of assets under lease.

Non-Interest Expense

The following table presents the components of non-interest expense for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	 Three Months Ended March 31,					
	2015		2014			
Employee compensation and benefits	\$ 49,479	\$	49,449			
Occupancy and equipment	18,170		16,967			
Amortization of FDIC indemnification asset	22,005		15,741			
Other real estate owned expense (income), net	1,224		(1,697)			
Deposit insurance expense	2,918		2,252			
Professional fees	3,298		3,430			
Telecommunications and data processing	3,471		3,307			
Other non-interest expense	13,579		13,012			
	\$ 114,144	\$	102,461			

Non-interest expense as a percentage of average assets was 2.4% and 2.7% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The more significant changes in the components of non-interest expense are discussed below.

Amortization of FDIC indemnification asset

Amortization of FDIC indemnification asset totaled \$22.0 million and \$15.7 million respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014.

The FDIC indemnification asset was initially recorded at its estimated fair value of \$3.4 billion, representing the present value of estimated future cash payments from the FDIC for probable losses on covered assets. As projected cash flows from the ACI loans have increased, the yield on the loans has increased accordingly and the estimated future cash payments from the FDIC have decreased. This change in estimated cash flows is recognized prospectively, consistent with the recognition of the increased cash flows from the ACI loans. As a result, the FDIC indemnification asset is being amortized to the amount of the estimated future cash flows. For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, the average rate at which the FDIC indemnification asset was amortized was 9.39%, and 5.47%, respectively.

The rate of amortization will increase if estimated future cash payments from the FDIC decrease. The amount of amortization is impacted by both the change in the amortization rate and the decrease in the average balance of the indemnification asset. As we continue to submit claims under the Loss Sharing Agreements and recognize periodic amortization, the balance of the indemnification asset will continue to decline.

Recoveries of losses on commercial loans and gains on the sale of investment securities that were previously covered under the Commercial Shared-Loss Agreement also result in reimbursements due to the FDIC. These transactions are included in the tables below. Amounts payable to the FDIC resulting from these transactions are recognized in other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet.

A rollforward of the FDIC indemnification asset for the year ended December 31, 2014 and three months ended March 31, 2015 follows (in thousands):

Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ 1,205,117
Amortization	(69,470)
Reduction for claims filed	(114,916)
Net loss on FDIC indemnification	(46,396)
Balance at December 31, 2014	 974,335
Amortization	(22,005)
Reduction for claims filed	(21,902)
Net loss on FDIC indemnification	(20,265)
Balance at March 31, 2015	\$ 910,163

The balance at March 31, 2015 is reflected in the consolidated balance sheet as follows (in thousands):

FDIC indemnification asset	\$ 912,923
Other liabilities	(2,760)
	\$ 910,163

Subsequent to the termination of loss sharing under the Commercial Shared-Loss Agreement in May 2014, the entire balance of the FDIC indemnification asset relates to residential loans and OREO covered under the Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement. The following table presents the carrying value of the FDIC indemnification asset and the estimated future cash flows at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	March 31,	2015	December 31, 2014
FDIC indemnification asset	\$	912,923	\$ 974,704
Less expected amortization		(328,867)	(302,669)
Amount expected to be collected from the FDIC	\$	584,056	\$ 672,035

The amount of expected amortization reflects the impact of improvements in cash flows expected to be collected from the covered loans, as well as the impact of time value resulting from the discounting of the asset when it was initially established. This amount will be amortized to non-interest expense using the effective interest method over the period during which cash

flows from the FDIC are expected to be collected, which is limited to the lesser of the contractual term of the Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement and the expected remaining life of the indemnified assets.

OREO expense (income), net

During the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, a substantial majority of the gains or losses recognized on the sale or impairment of OREO related to properties covered by the Loss Sharing Agreements. Therefore, gains or losses from sale or impairment of OREO were substantially offset by gains or losses related to indemnification by the FDIC recognized in non-interest income.

OREO expense included net loss on sales of OREO of \$46 thousand and OREO impairment of \$425 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2015, while OREO income included net gain on sales of OREO of \$(2.7) million and OREO impairment of \$64 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Income Taxes

The Company's effective tax rate decreased to 34.7% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from 35.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The decrease primarily reflects the impact of increases in income not subject to federal tax.

Analysis of Financial Condition

Average interest-earning assets increased \$4.2 billion to \$17.7 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$13.5 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2014. This increase was driven by a \$3.2 billion increase in the average balance of outstanding loans and a \$0.9 billion increase in the average balance of investment securities. The increase in average loans reflected growth of \$3.5 billion in average new loans outstanding, partially offset by a \$342 million decrease in the average balance of loans acquired in the FSB Acquisition. Average non-interest earning assets declined by \$22 million, reflecting the decrease in the FDIC indemnification asset, largely offset by increases in equipment under operating lease, net. Growth of the new loan and lease portfolio, resolution of covered loans and declines in the amount of the FDIC indemnification asset are trends that are expected to continue.

Average interest bearing liabilities increased by \$3.3 billion to \$14.4 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$11.1 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2014, due to an increase of \$2.4 billion in average interest bearing deposits and a \$945 million increase in average FHLB advances. Average non-interest bearing deposits increased by \$603 million.

Average stockholders' equity increased by \$123 million, due largely to the retention of earnings.

Investment Securities Available for Sale

The following table shows the amortized cost and fair value of investment securities available for sale as of the dates indicated (in thousands):

	March 31, 2015					December 31, 2014			
		Amortized Cost		Fair Value		Amortized Cost		Fair Value	
U.S. Treasury securities	\$	54,932	\$	55,270	\$	54,924	\$	54,967	
U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities		1,332,387		1,362,579		1,501,504		1,524,716	
U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise commercial mortgage-backed securities		100,924		102,352		101,089		101,858	
Re-Remics		161,196		164,488		179,664		183,272	
Private label residential mortgage-backed securities and CMOs		324,042		376,319		350,300		403,979	
Private label commercial mortgage-backed securities		950,450		965,112		1,134,854		1,139,389	
Single family rental real estate-backed securities		555,680		556,256		446,079		443,017	
Collateralized loan obligations		309,564		309,677		174,767		174,332	
Non-mortgage asset-backed securities		111,090		115,545		117,562		122,164	
Preferred stocks		96,223		106,454		96,294		105,442	
State and municipal obligations		30,519		31,058		15,317		15,702	
Small Business Administration securities		291,657		301,639		298,424		308,728	
Other debt securities		3,749		7,995		3,712		8,128	
	\$	4,322,413	\$	4,454,744	\$	4,474,490	\$	4,585,694	

Our investment strategy has focused on providing liquidity necessary for day-to-day operations, adding a suitable balance of high credit quality, diversifying assets to the consolidated balance sheet, managing interest rate risk, and generating acceptable returns given our established risk parameters. We have sought to maintain liquidity by investing a significant portion of the portfolio in high quality liquid securities including U.S. Treasury securities, U.S. Small Business Administration securities and U.S. Government agency mortgage-backed securities. We have also invested in highly rated structured products that, while somewhat less liquid, provide us with attractive yields. Relatively short effective portfolio duration helps mitigate interest rate risk arising from the currently low level of market interest rates. The weighted average expected life of the investment portfolio as of March 31, 2015 was 4.0 years and the effective duration was 1.4 years.

Regulations implementing the Volcker Rule were approved in December 2013. Among other provisions, the regulations generally will serve to prohibit us from holding an ownership interest, as defined, in a covered fund, also as defined. Although uncertainty remains as to how the regulations will be interpreted and implemented by regulatory authorities, there are Re-Remic securities in our portfolio that we believe may be deemed impermissible investments under the regulations. At March 31, 2015, we held Re-Remics with a carrying value of \$164 million. At March 31, 2015, all of these securities were in unrealized gain positions. The Re-Remics are an amortizing portfolio and we estimate that their carrying value will be significantly reduced through normal amortization and prepayments prior to the required compliance date. We will continue to evaluate our holdings in light of the regulations and further interpretations or implementation guidance that may be forthcoming, if any. As currently promulgated, we must be in compliance with the regulations implementing the Volcker Rule by July 2016 as it pertains to legacy covered funds, as defined. The Federal Reserve has indicated that it would likely extend the conformance period further to July 2017.

The following table shows the scheduled maturities, carrying values and current yields for investment securities available for sale as of March 31, 2015. Scheduled maturities have been adjusted for anticipated prepayments of mortgage-backed and other pass through securities. Yields on tax-exempt securities have been calculated on a tax-equivalent basis (dollars in thousands):

	Within (One Year		One Year Five Years		Five Years n Ten Years	After T	Ten Years	Т	otal
	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Yield								
U.S. Treasury securities	s –	%	\$ 55,270	0.93%	\$ —	%	\$ —	—%	\$ 55,270	0.93%
U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise residential mortgage-backed securities	146,797	1.73%	860,383	1.73%	258,091	1.78%	97,308	1.85%	1,362,579	1.76%
U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise commercial mortgage-backed securities	14,380	2.45%	40,121	2.49%	39,323	2.48%	8,528	3.33%	102,352	2.57%
Re-Remics	68,878	3.31%	92,059	3.11%	3,551	3.09%	_	%	164,488	3.14%
Private label residential mortgage backed securities and CMOs	65,191	5.55%	152,699	5.93%	87,109	5.89%	71,320	5.10%	376,319	5.70%
Private label commercial mortgage-backed securities	47,407	2.36%	611,891	2.54%	292,727	1.70%	13,087	3.05%	965,112	2.24%
Single family rental real estate-backed securities	425	1.97%	555,831	1.97%	_	—%	_	—%	556,256	1.97%
Collateralized loan obligations	_	%	134,871	2.20%	174,806	2.20%	_	%	309,677	2.20%
Non-mortgage asset-backed securities	17,899	3.40%	61,148	3.56%	31,082	3.51%	5,416	3.82%	115,545	3.55%
State and municipal obligations	_	%	_	%	31,058	3.81%	_	%	31,058	3.81%
Small Business Administration securities	56,062	1.69%	141,556	1.68%	69,920	1.65%	34,101	1.64%	301,639	1.66%
Other debt securities	_	%	_	%	_	%	7,995	7.19%	7,995	7.19%
	\$ 417,039	2.70%	\$ 2,705,829	2.28%	\$ 987,667	2.30%	\$ 237,755	2.81%	4,348,290	2.35%
Preferred stocks with no scheduled maturity									106,454	7.79%
Total investment securities available for sale									\$ 4,454,744	2.47%

The available for sale investment securities portfolio was in a net unrealized gain position of \$132 million at March 31, 2015 with aggregate fair value equal to 103% of amortized cost. Net unrealized gains included \$138 million of gross unrealized gains and \$6 million of gross unrealized losses. Investment securities available for sale in an unrealized loss position at March 31, 2015 had an aggregate fair value of \$861 million. At March 31, 2015, 90.6% of investment securities available for sale were backed by the U.S. Government, U.S. Government agencies or sponsored enterprises or were rated AAA or AA, based on the most recent third-party ratings. Investment securities available for sale totaling \$91 million were rated below investment grade or not rated at March 31, 2015, including \$90 million of investment securities acquired in the FSB Acquisition, substantially all of which were in unrealized gain positions at March 31, 2015.

We evaluate the credit quality of individual securities in the portfolio quarterly to determine whether any of the investments in unrealized loss positions are other-than-temporarily impaired. This evaluation considers, but is not necessarily limited to, the following factors, the relative significance of which varies depending on the circumstances pertinent to each individual security:

- · our intent to hold the security until maturity or for a period of time sufficient for a recovery in value;
- · whether it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis;
- · the length of time and extent to which fair value has been less than amortized cost;
- adverse changes in expected cash flows;
- · collateral values and performance;
- the payment structure of the security, including levels of subordination or over-collateralization;
- changes in the economic or regulatory environment;

- the general market condition of the geographic area or industry of the issuer;
- the issuer's financial condition, performance and business prospects; and
- · changes in credit ratings.

No securities were determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired at March 31, 2015 or 2014 or during the three months then ended.

We do not intend to sell securities in significant unrealized loss positions. Based on an assessment of our liquidity position and internal and regulatory guidelines for permissible investments and concentrations, it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell securities in significant unrealized loss positions prior to recovery of amortized cost basis. The severity of impairment of individual securities in the portfolio is generally not material. For fixed rated securities, unrealized losses in the portfolio at March 31, 2015 were primarily attributable to an increase in medium and long-term market interest rates subsequent to the date the securities were acquired. For variable rate securities, unrealized losses were primarily due to widening credit spreads.

The timely repayment of principal and interest on U.S. Government agency and sponsored enterprise securities and Small Business Administration securities in unrealized loss positions is explicitly or implicitly guaranteed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government. Management either engaged a third party to perform, or performed internally, projected cash flow analyses of the private label residential mortgage-backed securities and CMOs and private label commercial mortgage-backed securities in unrealized loss positions, incorporating CUSIP level collateral default rate, voluntary prepayment rate, severity and delinquency assumptions. Based on the results of this analysis, no credit losses were projected. Given the expectation of timely repayment of principal and interest and the generally limited severity of impairment, we concluded that none of these debt securities in unrealized loss positions were other-than-temporarily impaired. Management's analysis of the credit characteristics and levels of subordination for each of the single family rental real estate-backed securities is not indicative of projected credit losses. Given the limited duration and severity of impairment and the absence of projected credit losses, the impairments were considered to be temporary. Based on the limited duration and severity of impairment and the results of independent analysis of the credit quality of loans underlying collateralized loan obligations, we considered the impairment of these securities to be temporary.

For further discussion of our analysis of investment securities for OTTI, see Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements.

We use third-party pricing services to assist us in estimating the fair value of investment securities. We perform a variety of procedures to ensure that we have a thorough understanding of the methodologies and assumptions used by the pricing services including obtaining and reviewing written documentation of the methods and assumptions employed, conducting interviews with valuation desk personnel and reviewing model results and detailed assumptions used to value selected securities as considered necessary. Our classification of prices within the fair value hierarchy is based on an evaluation of the nature of the significant assumptions impacting the valuation of each type of security in the portfolio. We have established a robust price challenge process that includes a review by our treasury front office of all prices provided on a monthly basis. Any price evidencing unexpected month over month fluctuations or deviations from our expectations based on recent observed trading activity and other information available in the marketplace that would impact the value of the security is challenged. Responses to the price challenges, which generally include specific information about inputs and assumptions incorporated in the valuation and their sources, are reviewed in detail. If considered necessary to resolve any discrepancies, a price will be obtained from an additional independent valuation specialist. We do not typically adjust the prices provided, other than through this established challenge process. Our primary pricing services utilize observable inputs when available, and employ unobservable inputs and proprietary models only when observable inputs are not available. As a matter of course, the services validate prices by comparison to recent trading activity whenever such activity exists. Quotes obtained from the pricing services are typically non-binding.

We have also established a quarterly price validation process whereby we verify the prices provided by our primary pricing service for a sample of securities in the portfolio. Sample sizes vary based on the type of security being priced, with higher sample sizes applied to more difficult to value security types. Verification procedures may consist of obtaining prices from an additional outside source or internal modeling, generally based on Intex. We have established acceptable percentage deviations from the price provided by the initial pricing source. If deviations fall outside the established parameters, we will obtain and evaluate more detailed information about the assumptions and inputs used by each pricing source or, if considered necessary, employ an additional valuation specialist to price the security in question. When there are price discrepancies, the final determination of fair value is based on careful consideration of the assumptions and inputs employed by each of the pricing sources given our knowledge of the market for each individual security and may include interviews with the outside pricing

sources utilized. Depending on the results of the validation process, sample sizes may be extended for particular classes of securities. Results of the validation process are reviewed by the treasury front office and by senior management.

The majority of our investment securities are classified within level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. U.S. Treasury securities and certain preferred stocks are classified within level 1 of the hierarchy. At March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, 3.8% of our investment securities were classified within level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Securities classified within level 3 of the hierarchy at March 31, 2015 included certain private label residential mortgage-backed securities and trust preferred securities. These securities were classified within level 3 of the hierarchy because proprietary assumptions related to voluntary prepayment rates, default probabilities and loss severities were considered significant to the valuation. There were no transfers of investment securities between levels of the fair value hierarchy during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

For additional discussion of the fair values of investment securities, see Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements.

Loans

The loan portfolio comprises the Company's primary interest-earning asset. The following tables show the composition of the loan portfolio and the breakdown of the portfolio among new loans, non-covered ACI loans, covered ACI loans and covered non-ACI loans at the dates indicated (dollars in thousands):

					15					
	-	Non-Cover	ed Loa	ans	Covere	d Loa	ins			
		New Loans		ACI	 ACI	Non-ACI	Total		Percent of Total	
Residential:										
1-4 single family residential	\$	2,554,085	\$	_	\$ 835,610	\$	54,158	\$	3,443,853	26.1%
Home equity loans and lines of credit		1,754		_	16,275		91,823		109,852	0.8%
		2,555,839		_	 851,885		145,981		3,553,705	26.9%
Commercial:										
Multi-family		2,407,733		24,958	_		_		2,432,691	18.4%
Commercial real estate										
Owner occupied		1,044,165		25,643	_		_		1,069,808	8.1%
Non-owner occupied		1,809,210		28,513	_		_		1,837,723	13.9%
Construction and land		219,582		2,007	_		_		221,589	1.7%
Commercial and industrial		2,555,826		1,128	_		_		2,556,954	19.4%
Commercial finance subsidiaries		1,501,819		_	_		_		1,501,819	11.4%
		9,538,335		82,249	_		_		9,620,584	72.9%
Consumer		26,814		13	_		_		26,827	0.2%
Total loans		12,120,988		82,262	851,885		145,981		13,201,116	100.0%
Premiums, discounts and deferred fees and costs, net		47,908		_	_		(9,769)		38,139	
Loans net of premiums, discounts and deferred fees and costs		12,168,896		82,262	851,885		136,212		13,239,255	
Allowance for loan and lease losses		(96,712)		_	_		(3,124)		(99,836)	
Loans, net	\$	12,072,184	\$	82,262	\$ 851,885	\$	133,088	\$	13,139,419	

December 31, 2014

	Non-Cover	ed Lo	ans	Covered Loans					
	New Loans		ACI		ACI		Non-ACI	Total	Percent of Total
Residential:								_	
1-4 single family residential	\$ 2,486,272	\$	_	\$	874,522	\$	56,138	\$ 3,416,932	27.6%
Home equity loans and lines of credit	 1,827		_		22,657		101,142	125,626	1.0%
	2,488,099		_		897,179		157,280	3,542,558	28.6%
Commercial:									
Multi-family	1,927,225		24,964		_		_	1,952,189	15.8%
Commercial real estate									
Owner occupied	1,008,930		34,440		_		_	1,043,370	8.4%
Non-owner occupied	1,753,317		30,762		_		_	1,784,079	14.4%
Construction and land	167,713		2,007		_		_	169,720	1.4%
Commercial and industrial	2,402,064		1,229		_		_	2,403,293	19.4%
Commercial finance subsidiaries	1,456,751		_		_		_	1,456,751	11.8%
	 8,716,000		93,402					8,809,402	71.2%
Consumer	26,293		14		_			26,307	0.2%
Total loans	11,230,392		93,416		897,179		157,280	12,378,267	100.0%
Premiums, discounts and deferred fees and costs, net	 47,097		_		_		(10,595)	 36,502	
Loans net of premiums, discounts and deferred fees and costs	11,277,489		93,416		897,179		146,685	12,414,769	
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(91,350)		_		_		(4,192)	(95,542)	
Loans, net	\$ 11,186,139	\$	93,416	\$	897,179	\$	142,493	\$ 12,319,227	

Total loans, net of premiums, discounts and deferred fees and costs, increased by \$824 million to \$13.2 billion at March 31, 2015, from \$12.4 billion at December 31, 2014. New loans grew by \$891 million while loans acquired in the FSB Acquisition declined by \$67 million from December 31, 2014 to March 31, 2015. New residential loans grew by \$69 million and new commercial loans grew by \$822 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Growth in new loans, net of premiums, discounts and deferred fees and costs, for the three months ended March 31, 2015 included \$185 million for the Florida franchise, \$554 million for the New York franchise and \$152 million for what we refer to as national platforms, consisting of our residential loan purchase program, our mortgage warehouse lending operations and the Bank's three commercial finance subsidiaries. Our warehouse lending operations and commercial finance subsidiaries contributed \$83 million and \$45 million, respectively, to growth in new loans for the three months ended March 31, 2015.

The following tables show the composition of the new loan portfolio and the breakdown among the Florida and New York regions and national platforms at the dates indicated. Amounts are net of premiums, discounts and deferred fees and costs (dollars in thousands):

March	31.	201	5

	Florida		New York	National			Total		
Residential	\$ 216,321		140,816	\$	2,236,586	\$	2,593,723		
Commercial	4,207,550		3,707,176		1,633,682		9,548,408		
Consumer	20,611		6,075		79		26,765		
	\$ 4,444,482	\$	3,854,067		3,870,347	\$	12,168,896		
	 36.5%		31.7%	31.8%			100.0%		

December 31, 2014

		Florida		New York		National		Total
Residential	\$	\$ 196,101		116,627	\$	2,211,937	\$	2,524,665
Commercial		4,042,607		3,177,979		1,505,992		8,726,578
Consumer		20,930		5,115		201		26,246
	\$	4,259,638		3,299,721	\$	3,718,130	\$	11,277,489
	_	37.8%		29.2%		33.0%		100.0%

At March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, 8.1% and 9.2%, of loans, net of premiums, discounts and deferred fees and costs, were acquired in the FSB Acquisition. Loans acquired in the FSB Acquisition are declining and new loans increasing as a percentage of the total portfolio as loans acquired in the FSB Acquisition are repaid or resolved and new loan originations and purchases continue. This trend is expected to continue.

Residential Mortgages

Residential mortgages totaled \$3.6 billion, or 26.9% of total loans and \$3.5 billion, or 28.6% of total loans at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. The decline in this portfolio segment as a percentage of loans is primarily a result of higher commercial loan originations, reflecting our strategic emphasis on commercial lending, and to a smaller extent, the resolution of covered loans.

The new residential loan portfolio includes both originated and purchased loans. At March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, \$355 million or 13.7% and \$311 million or 12.3%, respectively, of our new 1-4 single family residential loans were originated loans; \$2.2 billion or 86.3% and \$2.2 billion or 87.7%, respectively, of our new 1-4 single family residential loans were purchased loans. We currently originate 1-4 single family residential mortgage loans with terms ranging from 10 to 30 years, with either fixed or adjustable interest rates, primarily to customers in Florida and New York. New residential mortgage loans are primarily closed-end first lien loans for the purchase or re-finance of owner occupied property. We have purchased loans to supplement our mortgage origination platform and to geographically diversify our loan portfolio. The purchased residential portfolio consists primarily of jumbo mortgages on owner-occupied properties acquired through established correspondent channels. At March 31, 2015, 33.5% of the new residential loan portfolio were fixed rate loans. The adjustable rate mortgage ("ARM") portfolio included 5/1, 7/1 and 10/1 ARMs. At March 31, 2015, \$205 million or 7.9% of new residential mortgage loans were interest-only loans, substantially all of which begin amortizing 10 years after origination. The number of newly originated residential mortgage loans that are re-financings of covered loans is not significant.

Home equity loans and lines of credit are not significant to the new loan portfolio.

We do not originate option ARMs, "no-doc" or "reduced-doc" mortgages and do not utilize wholesale mortgage origination channels although the covered loan portfolio contains loans with these characteristics. The Company's exposure to future losses on these mortgage loans is mitigated by the Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement.

Commercial loans

The commercial portfolio segment includes loans secured by multi-family properties, loans secured by both owner-occupied and non-owner occupied commercial real estate, construction loans, land loans, commercial and industrial loans and direct financing leases.

Management's loan origination strategy is heavily focused on the commercial portfolio segment, which comprised 78.7% and 77.6% of new loans as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.

Commercial real estate loans include term loans secured by owner and non-owner occupied income producing properties including rental apartments, mixed-use properties, industrial properties, retail shopping centers, office buildings, warehouse facilities and hotels as well as real estate secured lines of credit. Loans secured by commercial real estate typically have shorter repayment periods and re-price more frequently than 1-4 single family residential loans but may have longer terms and re-price less frequently than commercial and industrial loans. The Company's underwriting standards generally provide for loan terms of five to ten years, with amortization schedules of no more than thirty years. LTV ratios are typically limited to no more than 80%. In addition, the Company usually obtains personal guarantees or carve-out guarantees of the principals as an additional enhancement for commercial real estate loans. Owner-occupied commercial real estate loans typically have risk profiles more closely aligned with that of commercial and industrial loans than with other types of commercial real estate loans. Construction and land loans represented only 1.7% of the total loan portfolio at March 31, 2015. Construction and land loans are generally made for projects expected to stabilize within eighteen months of completion in submarkets with strong fundamentals and, to a

lesser extent, for-sale residential projects to experienced developers with a strong cushion between market prices and loan basis. At March 31, 2015, the recorded investment in construction loans with available interest reserves totaled \$89 million; the amount of available interest reserves totaled \$2 million. All of these loans were rated "pass" at March 31, 2015.

Commercial and industrial loans are typically made to small and middle market businesses and include equipment loans, secured and unsecured working capital facilities, formula-based loans, mortgage warehouse lines, taxi medallion loans, lease financing, Small Business Administration product offerings and, to a lesser extent, acquisition finance credit facilities. These loans may be structured as term loans, typically with maturities of three to seven years, or revolving lines of credit which may have multi-year maturities. Commercial loans also include shared national credits totaling \$842 million at March 31, 2015, to borrowers primarily in our geographic footprint.

Through its three commercial finance subsidiaries, Pinnacle Public Finance ("Pinnacle"), United Capital Business Lending ("UCBL") and Bridge Capital Leasing ("Bridge"), the Bank provides equipment financing on a national basis using both loan and lease structures. Pinnacle offers essential use equipment financing to municipalities, UCBL offers small business equipment leases and loans with a primary focus on franchise equipment finance and Bridge primarily provides transportation equipment finance.

The following table presents the recorded investment in loans and direct finance leases for each of the three commercial finance subsidiaries at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	 March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014			
Pinnacle	\$ 780,961	\$	751,286		
UCBL	374,044		364,623		
Bridge	355,928		350,350		
	\$ 1,510,933	\$	1,466,259		

New commercial loans that represent re-financings of loans acquired in the FSB Acquisition are not significant.

Consumer Loans

Consumer loans are comprised primarily of consumer installment financing, loans secured by certificates of deposit, unsecured personal lines of credit and demand deposit account overdrafts.

Asset Quality

In discussing asset quality, a distinction must be made between new loans and loans acquired in the FSB Acquisition. New loans were underwritten under significantly different and generally more conservative standards than the loans acquired in the FSB Acquisition. In particular, credit approval policies have been strengthened, wholesale mortgage origination channels have been eliminated, "no-doc" and option ARM loan products have been eliminated, and real estate appraisal policies have been improved. Although the risk profile of loans acquired in the FSB Acquisition is higher than that of new loans, our exposure to loss related to the loans acquired in the FSB Acquisition is significantly mitigated by the fair value basis recorded in these loans resulting from the application of acquisition accounting and, for the residential loans, by the Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement. The Commercial Shared-Loss Agreement was terminated on May 21, 2014. At March 31, 2015, covered loans totaled \$988 million, all of which were covered under the Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement.

We have established a robust credit risk management framework, put in place an experienced team to lead the workout and recovery process for the commercial and commercial real estate portfolios and implemented a dedicated internal loan review function that reports directly to our Audit and Risk Committee. We have an experienced resolution team in place for covered residential mortgage loans, and have implemented outsourcing arrangements with industry leading firms in certain areas such as OREO resolution.

Loan performance is monitored by our credit administration and workout and recovery departments. Generally, relationships with committed balances greater than \$1 million are reviewed at least annually. Additionally, commercial loans are regularly reviewed by our internal loan review department. The Company utilizes a 13 grade internal asset risk classification system as part of its efforts to monitor and maintain commercial asset quality. Loans exhibiting potential credit weaknesses that deserve management's close attention and that if left uncorrected may result in deterioration of the repayment capacity of the borrower are categorized as special mention. These borrowers may exhibit negative financial trends or erratic financial performance, strained liquidity, marginal collateral coverage, declining industry trends or weak management. Loans with well-defined credit weaknesses that may result in a loss if the deficiencies are not corrected are assigned a risk rating of

substandard. These borrowers may exhibit payment defaults, inadequate cash flows, operating losses, increasing balance sheet leverage, project cost overruns, unreasonable construction delays, exhausted interest reserves, declining collateral values, frequent overdrafts or past due real estate taxes. Loans with weaknesses so severe that collection in full is highly questionable or improbable, but because of certain reasonably specific pending factors have not been charged off, are assigned an internal risk rating of doubtful.

Residential mortgage loans and consumer loans are not individually risk rated. Delinquency status is the primary measure we use to monitor the credit quality of these loans. We also consider original LTV and FICO score to be significant indicators of credit quality for the new 1-4 single family residential portfolio.

New Loans

Commercial

The ongoing asset quality of significant commercial loans is monitored on an individual basis through our regular credit review and risk rating process. We believe internal risk rating is the best indicator of the credit quality of commercial loans. Homogenous groups of smaller balance commercial loans may be monitored collectively.

At March 31, 2015, new commercial loans with aggregate balances of \$22 million, \$44 million and \$16 million were rated special mention, substandard and doubtful, respectively. At December 31, 2014, new commercial loans aggregating \$25 million, \$41 million and \$12 million were rated special mention, substandard and doubtful, respectively. Criticized and classified assets represented less than 1% of the new commercial portfolio at March 31, 2015. See Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements for more detailed information about risk rating of new commercial loans.

Residential

New 1-4 single family residential loans past due more than 30 days totaled \$7 million and \$4 million at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively The amount of these loans 90 days or more past due was deminimis at both March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

The majority of our new residential mortgage portfolio consists of loans purchased through established correspondent channels. The credit parameters for purchasing loans are similar to the underwriting guidelines in place for our mortgage origination platform. For purchasing seasoned loans, good payment history is required. In general, we purchase performing jumbo mortgage loans which have FICO scores above 700, primarily are owner-occupied and full documentation, and have a current LTV of 80% or less. We perform due diligence on the purchased loans for credit, compliance, counterparty, payment history and property valuation.

The following table shows the distribution of new 1-4 single family residential loans by original FICO and LTV as of the dates indicated:

			March 31, 2015		
			FICO		
LTV	720 or less	721 - 740	741 - 760	761 or greater	Total
60% or less	2.7%	3.2%	5.2%	23.4%	34.5%
60% - 70%	2.2%	2.6%	4.0%	16.6%	25.4%
70% - 80%	1.8%	3.9%	6.6%	26.1%	38.4%
More than 80%	1.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.4%	1.7%
	7.8%	9.8%	15.9%	66.5%	100.0%

			December 31, 2014		
			FICO		
LTV	720 or less	721 - 740	741 - 760	761 or greater	Total
60% or less	2.6%	3.2%	5.1%	23.7%	34.6%
60% - 70%	2.2%	2.5%	4.0%	16.8%	25.5%
70% - 80%	1.7%	3.9%	6.8%	25.7%	38.1%
More than 80%	1.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.5%	1.8%
	7.6%	9.7%	16.0%	66.7%	100.0%

At March 31, 2015, 78.9% of new 1-4 single family residential loans with LTV of more than 80% were insured by the Federal Housing Administration.

At March 31, 2015, the purchased loan portfolio had the following characteristics: substantially all were full documentation with an average FICO score of 768 and average LTV of 65.3%. The majority of this portfolio was owner-occupied, with 93.3% primary residence, 6.2% second homes and 0.5% investment properties. In terms of vintage, 1.2% of the portfolio was originated pre-2011, 22.3% in 2011 and 2012, 40.1% in 2013, 30.7% in 2014 and 5.7% in 2015.

Similarly, the originated loan portfolio had the following characteristics at March 31, 2015: 100% were full documentation with an average FICO score of 753 and average LTV of 62.7%. The majority of this portfolio was owner-occupied, with 83.7% primary residence, 10.0% second homes and 6.3% investment properties. In terms of vintage, 14.3% of the portfolio was originated from 2010 through 2012, 27.1% in 2013, 43.7% in 2014 and 14.9% in 2015.

Consumer

At March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 delinquent new consumer loans were insignificant.

Loans Acquired in the FSB Acquisition

Loans acquired in the FSB Acquisition consist of both ACI loans and non-ACI loans. At March 31, 2015, ACI loans totaled \$934 million and non-ACI loans totaled \$136 million, net of premiums, discounts and deferred fees and costs.

Residential

At March 31, 2015, residential ACI loans totaled \$852 million and residential non-ACI loans totaled \$136 million, net of premiums, discounts and deferred fees and costs. All of these loans are covered under the Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement.

Covered residential loans were placed into homogenous pools at the time of the FSB Acquisition and the ongoing credit quality and performance of these loans is monitored on a pool basis. The fair value of the pools was initially measured based on the expected cash flows from each pool. Initial cash flow expectations incorporated significant assumptions regarding prepayment rates, frequency of default and loss severity. For ACI pools, the difference between total contractual payments due and the cash flows expected to be received at acquisition was recognized as non-accretable difference. The excess of expected cash flows over the recorded fair value of each ACI pool at acquisition, known as the accretable yield, is being recognized as interest income over the life of each pool. We monitor the pools quarterly to determine whether any significant changes have occurred in expected cash flows that would be indicative of impairment or necessitate reclassification between non-accretable difference and accretable yield. Generally, improvements in expected cash flows less than 1% of the expected cash flows from a pool are not recorded. This materiality threshold may be revised in the future based on management's judgment. At March 31, 2015, accretable yield on residential ACI loans totaled \$979 million and non-accretable difference related to those loans totaled \$896 million. Accretable yield on commercial ACI loans totaled \$35 million at March 31, 2015, with no significant non-accretable difference remaining.

At March 31, 2015, the recorded investment in 1-4 single family residential non-ACI loans was \$46 million; \$1.8 million or 3.9% of these loans were 30 days or more past due and the balance of loans 90 days or more past due was insignificant. At March 31, 2015, the recorded investment in ACI 1-4 single family residential loans totaled \$836 million; \$44.8 million or 5.4% of these loans were delinquent by 30 days or more and \$25.0 million or 3.0% were delinquent by 90 days or more.

At March 31, 2015, non-ACI home equity loans and lines of credit had an aggregate recorded investment of \$90 million; \$4.7 million or 5.2% of these loans were 30 days or more past due and \$3.3 million or 3.6% were 90 days or more past due. ACI home equity loans and lines of credit had a carrying amount of \$16 million at March 31, 2015; \$1.3 million or 7.9% of

ACI home equity loans and lines of credit were 30 days or more contractually delinquent and \$0.9 million or 5.5% were delinquent by 90 days or more.

Home equity loans and lines of credit generally provide that payment terms be reset after an initial contractual period of interest only payments, requiring the pay down of principal through balloon payments or amortization. Additional information regarding ACI and non-ACI home equity loans and lines of credit at March 31, 2015 is summarized as follows:

	ACI	Non-ACI
Loans resetting from interest only:		
Previously reset	17.4%	24.2%
Scheduled to reset within 12 months	26.7%	19.2%
Scheduled to reset after 12 months	55.9%	56.6%
	100.0%	100.0%
Lien position:		
First liens	9.4%	10.2%
Second or third liens	90.6%	89.8%
	100.0%	100.0%

To date, we have not identified any significant impact to default rates from resets of interest only loans. The Company's exposure to loss related to covered loans is significantly mitigated by the Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement and by the fair value basis recorded in these assets resulting from the application of acquisition accounting.

Commercial

At March 31, 2015, ACI commercial loans had a carrying value of \$82 million. At March 31, 2015, the balance of loans 90 days or more past due was insignificant and loans with aggregate carrying values of \$0.5 million and \$2.4 million were internally risk rated special mention and substandard, respectively.

Impaired Loans and Non-Performing Assets

Non-performing assets generally consist of (i) non-accrual loans, including loans that have been modified in TDRs and placed on non-accrual status or that have not yet exhibited a consistent six month payment history, (ii) accruing loans that are more than 90 days contractually past due as to interest or principal, excluding ACI loans, and (iii) OREO. Impaired loans also typically include loans modified in TDRs that are performing according to their modified terms and ACI loans for which expected cash flows have been revised downward since acquisition (as adjusted for any additional cash flows expected to be collected arising from changes in estimates after acquisition). Impaired ACI loans or pools with remaining accretable yield have not been classified as non-accrual loans and we do not consider them to be non-performing assets.

The following table summarizes the Company's impaired loans and non-performing assets at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	March 31, 2015							December 31, 2014						
	Non- Covered Covered Assets Assets Total			Covered Assets		Non- Covered Assets	Total							
Non-accrual loans														
Residential:														
1 - 4 single family residential	\$	1,543	\$	111	\$	1,654	\$	604	\$	49	\$	653		
Home equity loans and lines of credit		2,850		_		2,850		3,808		_		3,808		
Total residential loans		4,393		111		4,504		4,412		49		4,461		
Commercial:														
Commercial real estate		_		5,044		5,044		_		4,688		4,688		
Construction and land		_		_		_		_		209		209		
Commercial and industrial		_		13,604		13,604		_		13,666		13,666		
Commercial finance subsidiaries		_		19,098		19,098		_		9,226		9,226		
Total commercial loans				37,746		37,746		_		27,789		27,789		
Consumer		_		67		67		_		173		173		
Total non-accrual loans		4,393		37,924		42,317		4,412		28,011		32,423		
Non-ACI and new loans past due 90 days and still accruing		433		_		433		_		_		_		
TDRs		3,297		3,780		7,077		2,188		4,435		6,623		
Total non-performing loans		8,123		41,704		49,827		6,600		32,446		39,046		
OREO		11,068		135		11,203		13,645		135		13,780		
Total non-performing assets		19,191		41,839		61,030		20,245		32,581		52,826		
Non-ACI and new TDRs in compliance with		3,031		1,372		4,403		3,866		797		4,663		

their modified terms						
Total impaired loans and non-performing assets	\$ 22,222	\$ 43,211	\$ 65,433	\$ 24,111	\$ 33,378	\$ 57,489
Non-performing loans to total loans (1)		0.34%	0.38%		0.29%	0.31%
Non-performing assets to total assets (2)		0.21%	0.31%		0.17%	0.27%
ALLL to total loans (1)		0.79%	0.75%		0.80%	0.77%
ALLL to non-performing loans		231.90%	200.37%		281.54%	244.69%
Net charge-offs to average loans (3)		0.13%	0.12%		0.08%	0.15%

- (1) Total loans for purposes of calculating these ratios are net of premiums, discounts and deferred fees and costs.
- (2) Ratio for non-covered assets is calculated as non-performing non-covered assets to total assets.
- (3) Annualized

Contractually delinquent ACI loans with remaining accretable yield are not reflected as non-accrual loans because accretion continues to be recorded in income. Accretion continues to be recorded as long as there is an expectation of future cash flows in excess of carrying amount from these loans. The carrying value of ACI loans contractually delinquent by more than 90 days but on which income was still being recognized was \$26 million and \$23 million at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.

New and non-ACI commercial loans are placed on non-accrual status when (i) management has determined that full repayment of all contractual principal and interest is in doubt, or (ii) the loan is past due 90 days or more as to principal or interest unless the loan is well secured and in the process of collection. New and non-ACI residential and consumer loans are generally placed on non-accrual status when 90 days of interest is due and unpaid. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, uncollected interest accrued is reversed and charged to interest income. Commercial loans are returned to accrual status only after all past due principal and interest has been collected and full repayment of remaining contractual principal and interest is reasonably assured. Residential loans are returned to accrual status when less than 90 days of interest is due and unpaid. Past due status of loans is determined based on the contractual next payment due date. Loans less than 30 days past due are reported as current. Except for ACI loans accounted for in pools, loans that are the subject of TDRs are generally placed on non-accrual status at the time of the modification unless the borrower has no history of missed payments for six months prior to the restructuring. If borrowers perform pursuant to the modified loan terms for at least six months and the remaining loan balances are considered collectable, the loans are returned to accrual status.

A loan modification is considered a TDR if the Company, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that the Company would not otherwise grant. These concessions may take the form of temporarily or permanently reduced interest rates, payment abatement periods, restructuring of payment terms, extensions of maturity at below market terms, or in some cases, partial forgiveness of principal. Under GAAP, modified ACI loans accounted for in pools are not accounted for as TDRs and are not separated from their respective pools when modified. Included in TDRs are residential loans to borrowers who have not reaffirmed their debt discharged in Chapter 7 bankruptcy.

As of March 31, 2015, nine commercial loans with an aggregate carrying value of \$14 million and 33 residential loans with an aggregate carrying value of \$8 million had been modified in TDRs and were included in impaired loans and non-performing assets. Because of the immateriality of the amount of loans modified in TDRs and nature of the modifications, the modifications did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2015 or 2014.

Potential Problem Loans

Potential problem loans have been identified by management as those loans included in the "substandard accruing" risk rating category. These loans are typically performing, but possess specifically identified credit weaknesses that, if not remedied, may lead to a downgrade to non-accrual status and identification as impaired in the near-term. Substandard accruing new loans totaled \$22 million at March 31, 2015. Substantially all of these loans were current as to principal and interest at March 31, 2015.

Loss Mitigation Strategies

We evaluate each loan in default to determine the most effective loss mitigation strategy, which may be modification, short sale, or foreclosure. We offer loan modifications under HAMP to eligible borrowers in the residential portfolio. HAMP is a uniform loan modification process that provides eligible borrowers with sustainable monthly mortgage payments equal to a target 31% of their gross monthly income. We have approved 4,283 permanent loan modifications through March 31, 2015 and there are 64 trial loan modifications at March 31, 2015. Substantially all of these modified loans were covered ACI loans accounted for in pools.

Analysis of the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

The ALLL relates to (i) new loans, (ii) estimated additional losses arising on non-ACI loans subsequent to the FSB Acquisition, and (iii) impairment recognized as a result of decreases in expected cash flows on ACI loans due to further credit deterioration. The impact of any additional provision for losses on covered loans is significantly mitigated by an increase in the FDIC indemnification asset. The determination of the amount of the ALLL is, by nature, highly complex and subjective. Future events that are inherently uncertain could result in material changes to the level of the ALLL. General economic conditions including but not limited to unemployment rates, real estate values in our primary market areas and the level of interest rates, as well as a variety of other factors that affect the ability of borrowers' businesses to generate cash flows sufficient to service their debts will impact the future performance of the portfolio.

New and non-ACI Loans

Due to the lack of similarity between the risk characteristics of new loans and covered loans in the residential and home equity portfolios, management does not believe it is appropriate to use the historical performance of the covered residential mortgage portfolio as a basis for calculating the ALLL applicable to new loans. The new loan portfolio has not yet developed an observable loss trend. Therefore, the ALLL for new residential loans is based primarily on relevant proxy historical loss rates. The ALLL for new 1-4 single family residential loans is estimated using average annual loss rates on prime residential mortgage securitizations issued between 2003 and 2008 as a proxy. Based on the comparability of FICO scores and LTV ratios between loans included in those securitizations and loans in the Bank's portfolio and the geographic diversity in the new purchased residential portfolio, we determined that prime residential mortgage securitizations provide an appropriate proxy for expected losses in this portfolio class.

A peer group twelve quarter average net charge-off rate is used to estimate the ALLL for the new home equity loan class. See further discussion of the use of peer group loss factors below. The new home equity portfolio is not a significant component of the overall loan portfolio.

Based on an updated analysis of historical performance, OREO and short sale losses, recent trending data and other internal and external factors, we have concluded that historical performance by portfolio class is the best indicator of incurred loss for the non-ACI 1-4 single family residential and home equity portfolio classes. For each of these portfolio classes, a quarterly roll rate matrix is calculated by delinquency bucket to measure the rate at which loans move from one delinquency bucket to the next during a given quarter. An average four quarter roll rate matrix is used to estimate the amount within each delinquency bucket expected to roll to 120+ days delinquent. We assume no cure for those loans that are currently 120+ days delinquent. Loss severity given default is estimated based on internal data about OREO sales and short sales from the portfolio. The ALLL calculation incorporates a 100% loss severity assumption for home equity loans that are projected to roll to default. For non-ACI residential loans, the allowance is initially calculated based on UPB. The total of UPB, less the calculated allowance is then compared to the carrying amount of the loans, net of unamortized credit related fair value adjustments established at acquisition. If the calculated balance net of the allowance is less than the carrying amount, an additional allowance is established. Any such increase in the allowance for non-ACI loans will result in a corresponding increase in the FDIC indemnification asset.

Since the new commercial loan portfolio is not yet seasoned enough to exhibit a loss trend, the ALLL for new commercial loans is based primarily on peer group average annual historical net charge-off rates by loan class and the Company's internal credit risk rating system. The allowance is comprised of specific reserves for loans that are individually evaluated and determined to be impaired as well as general reserves for individually evaluated loans determined not to be impaired and loans that do not meet our established threshold for individual evaluation. Commercial relationships graded substandard or doubtful and on non-accrual status with committed credit facilities greater than or equal to \$750,000 are individually evaluated for impairment. For loans evaluated individually for impairment and determined to be impaired, a specific allowance is established based on the present value of expected cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the estimated fair value of the loan, or for collateral dependent loans, the estimated fair value of collateral less costs to sell. Loans modified in TDRs are also evaluated individually for impairment. We believe that loans rated special mention, substandard or doubtful that are not individually evaluated for impairment exhibit characteristics indicative of a heightened level of credit risk. Loss factors for these loans are determined by using default frequency and severity information applied at the loan level. Estimated default frequencies and severities are based on available industry data.

With the exception of the Pinnacle municipal finance portfolio, a four quarter loss emergence period is used in the calculation of general reserves. A twelve quarter loss emergence period is used in the calculation of general reserves for the Pinnacle portfolio.

The peer group used to calculate the average annual historical net charge-off rates that form the basis for our general reserve calculations for new commercial, home equity and consumer loans is a group of 34 banks made up of the banks included in the OCC Midsize Bank Group plus two additional banks in the New York region that management believes to be comparable based on size and nature of lending operations. The OCC Midsize Bank Group primarily includes commercial banks with total assets ranging from \$10 - \$50 billion. Peer bank data is obtained from the Statistics on Depository Institutions Report published by the FDIC for the most recent quarter available. These banks, as a group, are considered by management to be comparable to BankUnited in size, nature of lending operations and loan portfolio composition. We evaluate the composition of the peer group annually, or more frequently if, in our judgment, a more frequent evaluation is necessary. The general loss factor for municipal finance receivables is based on a cumulative municipal default curve for obligations of credit quality comparable to those in the Company's portfolio.

The loss experience period used to calculated an average net charge-off rate is twelve quarters. We believe a twelve-quarter look back period is appropriate as it captures a range of observations reflecting the performance of loans originated in the current economic cycle and includes sufficient history. We believe the twelve-quarter look back period to be consistent with the range of industry practice.

Our internal risk rating system comprises 13 credit grades; grades 1 through 8 are "pass" grades. The risk ratings are driven largely by debt service coverage. Peer group historical loss rates are adjusted upward for loans assigned a lower "pass" rating.

Qualitative adjustments are made to the ALLL when, based on management's judgment, there are internal or external factors impacting probable incurred losses not taken into account by the quantitative calculations. Potential qualitative adjustments are categorized as follows:

Portfolio performance trends, including trends in and the levels of delinquencies, non-performing loans and classified loans;

- Changes in the nature of the portfolio and terms of the loans, specifically including the volume and nature of policy and procedural exceptions;
- · Portfolio growth trends;
- Changes in lending policies and procedures, including credit and underwriting guidelines;
- · Economic factors, including unemployment rates and GDP growth rates;
- Changes in the value of underlying collateral for loans secured by real estate;
- Quality of risk ratings, as measured by changes in risk rating identified by our independent loan review function;
- Credit concentrations;
- Changes in credit administration management and staff; and
- Other factors identified by management that may impact the level of losses inherent in the portfolio, including but not limited to competition and legal and regulatory requirements.

ACI Loans

For ACI loans, a valuation allowance is established when periodic evaluations of expected cash flows reflect a decrease resulting from credit related factors from the level of cash flows that were estimated to be collected at acquisition plus any additional expected cash flows arising from revisions in those estimates. We perform a quarterly analysis of expected cash flows for ACI loans.

Expected cash flows are estimated on a pool basis for ACI 1-4 single family residential and home equity loans. The analysis of expected pool cash flows incorporates updated pool level expected prepayment rate, default rate, delinquency level and loss severity given default assumptions. Prepayment, delinquency and default curves are derived primarily from roll rates generated from the historical performance of the portfolio over the immediately preceding four quarters. Estimates of default probability and loss severity given default also incorporate updated LTV ratios, at the loan level, based on Case-Shiller Home Price Indices for the relevant MSA. Costs and fees represent an additional component of loss on default and are projected using the "Making Home Affordable" cost factors provided by the Federal government. The ACI home equity roll rates include the impact of delinquent, related senior liens and loans to borrowers who have not reaffirmed their debt discharged in Chapter 7 bankruptcy.

Based on our projected cash flow analysis, no ALLL related to 1-4 single family residential and home equity ACI pools was recorded at March 31, 2015 or December 31, 2014.

The primary assumptions underlying estimates of expected cash flows for ACI commercial loans are default probability and severity of loss given default. Following the sale of ACI commercial loans in March 2014, assessments of default probability and severity are based on net realizable value analyses prepared at the individual loan level. Based on our analysis, no ALLL related to ACI commercial loans was recorded at March 31, 2015 or December 31, 2014.

The following tables provides an analysis of the ALLL, provision for loan losses and net charge-offs for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	No	ew Loans	ACI Loans	Non-ACI Loans		Total
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$	91,350	\$ —	\$ 4,192	\$	95,542
Provision for loan losses:						
1-4 single family residential		2,945	_	(214)		2,731
Home equity loans and lines of credit		_	_	(222)		(222)
Multi-family		2,092	_	_		2,092
Commercial real estate						
Owner occupied		207	_	_		207
Non-owner occupied		(342)	_	_		(342)
Construction and land		67	_	_		67
Commercial and industrial		(10)	_	(15)		(25)
Commercial finance subsidiaries		3,644	_	_		3,644
Consumer		(5)	_	_		(5)
Total Provision		8,598	_	(451)		8,147
Charge-offs:						
Home equity loans and lines of credit		_	_	(639)		(639)
Commercial and industrial		(557)	_	_		(557)
Commercial finance subsidiaries		(2,842)	_	_		(2,842)
Total Charge-offs		(3,399)	_	(639)		(4,038)
Recoveries:						
Home equity loans and lines of credit		_	_	7		7
Commercial and industrial		147	_	15		162
Commercial finance subsidiaries		13	_	_		13
Consumer		3	_	_		3
Total Recoveries		163		22		185
Net Charge-offs:		(3,236)		(617)		(3,853)
Balance at March 31, 2015	\$	96,712	\$ —	\$ 3,124	\$	99,836

Three Months Ended March 31, 20	14

						Total	
	1	New Loans	ACI Loans	Non-ACI Loans			
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$	57,330	\$ 2,893	\$ 9,502	\$	69,725	
Provision for loan losses:	Ψ	37,330	ψ 2,033	ψ 5,502	Ψ	05,725	
1-4 single family residential		451	_	(17)		434	
Home equity loans and lines of credit		(1)	_	(1,633)		(1,634)	
Multi-family		1,477	(38)	(4)		1,435	
Commercial real estate		_,	()			2, 100	
Owner occupied		(64)	(13)	(3)		(80)	
Non-owner occupied		1,797	1,588	(2)		3,383	
Construction and land		1,379	443	7		1,829	
Commercial and industrial		3,143	(6)	150		3,287	
Commercial finance subsidiaries		(1,699)	_	_		(1,699)	
Consumer		1,124	324	_		1,448	
Total Provision		7,607	2,298	(1,502)		8,403	
Charge-offs:							
Home equity loans and lines of credit		_	_	(233)		(233)	
Multi-family		_	(285)	_		(285)	
Commercial real estate							
Owner occupied		_	(356)	_		(356)	
Non-owner occupied		_	(3,032)	_		(3,032)	
Construction and land		_	(635)	(13)		(648)	
Commercial and industrial		(2,186)	(559)	(477)		(3,222)	
Consumer		(363)	(324)	_		(687)	
Total Charge-offs		(2,549)	(5,191)	(723)		(8,463)	
Recoveries:							
Home equity loans and lines of credit		_	_	9		9	
Multi-family		_	_	4		4	
Commercial real estate							
Non-owner occupied		_	_	3		3	
Commercial and industrial		168	_	19		187	
Consumer		160				160	
Total Recoveries		328		35		363	
Net Charge-offs:		(2,221)	(5,191)	(688)		(8,100)	
Balance at March 31, 2014	\$	62,716	\$ —	\$ 7,312	\$	70,028	

Residential:

Commercial:

1 - 4 single family residential

Home equity loans and lines of credit

The following tables show the distribution of the ALLL, at the dates indicated (dollars in thousands)

\$

New Loans

10,061

17.062

96,712 \$

17 10,078

ACI Loans		Non-ACI Loans	Total	%(1)
\$ _	\$	731	\$ 10,792	26.1%
_		2,393	2,410	0.8%
_		3,124	13,202	26.9%
			17.000	10.40/

3,124

99,836

100.0%

March 31, 2015

Multi-tamily	17,062	-	-	17,062	18.4%
Commercial real estate					
Owner occupied	8,480	_	_	8,480	8.1%
Non-owner occupied	17,273	_	_	17,273	13.9%
Construction and land	2,792	_	_	2,792	1.7%
Commercial and industrial	25,447	_	_	25,447	19.4%
Commercial finance subsidiaries	15,392	_	_	15,392	11.4%
	86,446			86,446	72.9%
Consumer	188			188	0.2%

December 31, 2014 Non-ACI New Loans ACI Loans Total %(1) Loans Residential: \$ \$ 1 - 4 single family residential 7,116 \$ 945 \$ 8,061 27.6% Home equity loans and lines of credit 3,264 1.0% 17 3,247 7,133 4,192 11,325 28.6% Commercial: Multi-family 14,970 14,970 15.8% Commercial real estate Owner occupied 8,273 8,273 8.4% Non-owner occupied 17,615 17,615 14.4% Construction and land 2,725 2,725 1.4% Commercial and industrial 25,867 25,867 19.4% Commercial finance subsidiaries 14,577 14,577 11.8% 84,027 84,027 71.2% 0.2% Consumer 190 190 \$ 91,350 \$ 4,192 \$ 95,542 100.0%

The overall increase in the balance of the ALLL for new loans at March 31, 2015 as compared to December 31, 2014 reflects the impact of growth of the new loan portfolio, partially offset by a net decrease in general loss factors. The net decrease in general loss factors was primarily attributable to decreases in peer group net charge-off rates. This decrease in peer group net charge-off rates was partially offset by the effect of increases in certain qualitative loss factors, primarily the qualitative factor for economic conditions, based on decreases in GDP growth rates. Factors influencing significant components of the change in the ALLL at March 31, 2015 compared to December 31, 2014, as related to specific loan types, include:

 $^{(1) \}quad \text{Represents percentage of loans receivable in each category to total loans receivable.}$

- A \$2.9 million increase for new 1-4 single family residential loans was primarily attributable to increased qualitative loss factors. To a lesser degree, the increase was impacted by growth in the portfolio and a slight increase in proxy historical loss rates.
- An increase of \$2.1 million for new multi-family loans reflected the growth of the corresponding loan portfolio, partially offset by a decrease in the peer group net charge-off rates.
- Decreases of \$0.4 million for new commercial and industrial loans and \$0.3 million for new non-owner occupied commercial real estate loans, in spite of growth in the corresponding loan portfolios were primarily driven by decreases in peer group net charge-off rates.
- An increase of \$0.8 million for commercial finance subsidiaries primarily reflected an increase in specific reserves of \$0.9 million. The impact of
 growth in the corresponding loan and lease portfolio and net increases in qualitative factors were offset by a decrease in peer group net charge-off
 rates.
- A decrease of \$0.9 million for non-ACI home equity loans is attributable primarily to an improvement in roll rates and the runoff of the
 corresponding loan portfolio. For additional information about the ALLL, see Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements.

Equipment under Operating Lease

Equipment under operating lease primarily consists of railcar equipment we have purchased and leased to North American commercial end-users, predominantly companies in the petroleum/natural gas extraction and railroad line-haul industries. These equipment leases provide additional diversity in asset classes, geography and financing structures, with the potential for attractive after-tax returns. The portfolio of equipment under operating lease grew by \$51 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015. There were no significant changes in the performance of lessees during the three months ended March 31, 2015. There were no impairments of residuals or asset carrying values, missed payments, time off-lease or restructurings related to the operating lease portfolio during the quarter.

Other Real Estate Owned

The following table presents the changes in OREO for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,					
	2015					
Balance, beginning of period	\$	13,780	\$	40,570		
Transfers from loan portfolio		2,254		9,154		
Sales		(4,406)		(20,219)		
(Impairment) recovery		(425)		64		
Balance, end of period	\$	11,203	\$	29,569		

OREO consisted of the following types of properties at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	March 31, 2015						December 31, 2014					
		Covered		Non-Covered		Total		Covered		Non-Covered		Total
1-4 single family residential	\$	9,446	\$		\$	9,446	\$	12,341	\$		\$	12,341
Condominium		1,622		_		1,622		1,304		_		1,304
Land		_		135		135		_		135		135
	\$	11,068	\$	135	\$	11,203	\$	13,645	\$	135	\$	13,780

The decrease in OREO reflects continued efforts to resolve non-performing covered residential assets and a decline in the volume of residential foreclosures. There were 138 and 123 residential units in the foreclosure pipeline and 48 and 56 residential units in OREO inventory at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.

Deposits

The following table presents information about our deposits for the periods indicated (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,							
		2015			2014			
	Average Average Balance Rate Paid				Average Balance	Average Rate Paid		
Demand deposits:								
Non-interest bearing	\$	2,742,683	%	\$	2,139,414	—%		
Interest bearing		909,719	0.47%		686,998	0.42%		
Money market		5,529,872	0.53%		3,917,021	0.50%		
Savings		585,376	0.33%		738,122	0.30%		
Time		4,041,652	1.12%		3,347,334	1.21%		
	\$	13,809,302	0.59%	\$	10,828,889	0.60%		

Total deposits at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 included \$2.2 billion and \$1.6 billion, respectively, of deposits in New York.

The following table shows scheduled maturities of certificates of deposit with denominations greater than or equal to \$100,000 as of March 31, 2015 (in thousands):

Three months or less	\$ 600,137
Over three through six months	749,768
Over six through twelve months	1,038,027
Over twelve months	629,597
	\$ 3,017,529

Federal Home Loan Bank Advances and Other Borrowings

Outstanding FHLB advances and other borrowings consisted of the following at the dates indicated (dollars in thousands):

		March 31, 2015			December 31, 2014
FHLB advances	:	\$	3,283,149	\$	3,307,932
Capital lease obligations			10,529		10,627
		\$	3,293,678	\$	3,318,559

In addition to deposits, we utilize FHLB advances to finance our operations; the advances provide us with additional flexibility in managing both term and cost of funding. FHLB advances are secured by FHLB stock, qualifying residential first mortgage, commercial real estate, and home equity loans, and mortgage-backed securities. The contractual balance of FHLB advances outstanding at March 31, 2015 is scheduled to mature as follows (in thousands):

\$ 270,100
1,640,250
1,020,000
355,000
 3,285,350
(2,201)
\$ 3,283,149
\$

Capital Resources

Stockholders' equity increased \$63 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 due primarily to the exercise of stock options and the retention of earnings.

Pursuant to the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, the federal banking agencies have adopted regulations setting forth a five-tier system for measuring the capital adequacy of the financial institutions they supervise. At March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, BankUnited and the Company had capital levels that exceeded both the regulatory well-capitalized guidelines and all internal capital ratio targets.

The following table presents the Company's regulatory capital ratios as of March 31, 2015 (dollars in thousands):

	Actual			Required to be Considered Well Capitalized				Considered apitalized			
	Amount		Amount		Ratio	Ratio Amount		Ratio		Amount	Ratio
Tier 1 leverage	\$	1,998,812	10.34%		N/A (1)	N/A (1)	\$	773,604	4.00%		
CET 1 risk-based capital	\$	1,998,812	14.87%	\$	873,631	6.50%	\$	604,822	4.50%		
Tier 1 risk-based capital	\$	1,998,812	14.87%	\$	1,075,238	8.00%	\$	806,429	6.00%		
Total risk-based capital	\$	2,105,650	15.67%	\$	1,344,048	10.00%	\$	1,075,238	8.00%		

⁽¹⁾ There is no Tier 1 leverage ratio component in the definition of a well-capitalized bank holding company.

On July 2, 2013 the Federal Reserve Board approved a final rule that implements the Basel III changes to the regulatory capital framework for all U.S. banking organizations. The Company implemented the final rule on January 1, 2015. The results of the implementation are included in the table above and reflect the application of transition provisions in the final rule, as applicable to the Company. The requirements to be well capitalized reflected above do not include consideration of a capital conservation buffer, which will be phased in beginning in 2016. When fully phased in on January 1, 2019, in order to avoid limitations on capital distributions, including dividend payments and certain discretionary bonus payments to executive officers, a banking organization must hold this capital conservation buffer composed of CET1 capital equal to 2.50% of risk-weighted assets above the amounts required to be considered adequately capitalized.

Liquidity

Liquidity involves our ability to generate adequate funds to support planned asset growth, particularly growth of the new loan portfolio, meet deposit withdrawal requests and other contractual obligations, maintain reserve requirements, conduct routine operations and pay dividends.

Prior to the three months ended March 31, 2015, our consolidated statements of cash flows have historically reflected net cash outflows from operating activities. For the three months ended March 31, 2015, net cash provided by operating activities was \$28.1 million compared with net cash used in operating activities of \$49.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The primary driver of cash outflows from operations reflected in the consolidated statements of cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2014 is accretion on ACI loans, which is reflected as a non-cash reduction in net income to arrive at operating cash flows. Accretion on ACI loans totaled \$70.5 million and \$338.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and the year ended December 31, 2014, respectively. Accretable yield on ACI loans represents the excess of expected future cash flows over the carrying amount of the loans, and is recognized as interest income over the expected lives of the loans. Amounts recorded as accretion are realized in cash as individual loans are paid down or otherwise resolved; however, the timing of cash realization may differ from the timing of income recognition. These cash flows from the repayment or resolution of loans acquired in the FSB Acquisition, inclusive of amounts that have been accreted through earnings over time, are recognized as cash flows from investing activities in the consolidated statements of cash flows upon receipt. Cash payments from the FDIC in the form of reimbursements of losses related to the covered loans under the Loss Sharing Agreements are also characterized as investing cash flows. These reimbursements from the FDIC totaled \$21.9 million and \$114.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and the year ended December 31, 2014, respectively; exceeding net operating cash outflows for the year ended December 31, 2014. Both cash generated by the repayment and resolution of loans acquired in the FSB Acquisition and cash payments received from the FDIC have been and are expected to continue to be consistent and relatively predictable sources of liquidity available to fund operating needs, dividends to BankUnited, Inc. and new loan growth. Cash generated by the repayment and resolution of loans acquired in the FSB Acquisition totaled \$157.7 million and \$776.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and the year ended December 31, 2014, respectively.

The percentage of assets comprised of ACI loans and percentage of interest income comprised of ACI accretion continues to decrease, resulting in cash inflows from operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2015. Cash flows from resolution of the loans acquired in the FSB Acquisition will ultimately be replaced by operating cash flows from new assets originated with those proceeds. In addition to cash provided by the repayment and resolution of covered loans and payments under the Single Family Shared-Loss Agreement from the FDIC, BankUnited's liquidity needs, particularly liquidity to fund growth of the new loan portfolio, have been and continue to be met by deposit growth, its amortizing investment portfolio and, to a lesser extent, FHLB advances.

BankUnited has access to additional liquidity through FHLB advances, other collateralized borrowings, wholesale deposits or the sale of available for sale securities. At March 31, 2015, unencumbered investment securities available for sale totaled \$3.2 billion. At March 31, 2015, BankUnited had available borrowing capacity at the FHLB of \$2.0 billion, unused borrowing capacity at the FRB of \$86 million and unused Federal funds and lines of credit totaling \$95 million. Management also has the ability to exert substantial control over the rate and timing of growth of the new loan portfolio, and resultant requirements for liquidity to fund new loans.

Continued runoff of the covered loan portfolio and FDIC indemnification asset and growth of the new loan portfolio are the most significant trends expected to impact the Bank's liquidity in the near term.

The Asset/Liability Committee ("ALCO") policy has established several measures of liquidity which are monitored monthly by ALCO and quarterly by the Board of Directors. One measure of liquidity monitored by management is liquid assets (defined as cash and cash equivalents and pledgeable securities) to total assets. BankUnited's liquidity is considered acceptable if liquid assets divided by total assets exceeds 2.5%. At March 31, 2015, BankUnited's liquid assets divided by total assets was 6.5%. Management monitors a one year liquidity ratio, defined as cash and cash equivalents, pledgeable securities, unused borrowing capacity at the FHLB, and loans and non-agency securities maturing within one year divided by deposits and borrowings maturing within one year. The maturity of deposits, excluding certificate of deposits, is based on retention rates derived from the most recent external core deposit analysis obtained by the Company. This ratio allows management to monitor liquidity over a longer time horizon. The acceptable threshold established by ALCO for this liquidity measure is 100%. At March 31, 2015, BankUnited's one year liquidity ratio was 117%. Additional measures of liquidity regularly monitored by ALCO include the ratio of FHLB advances to tier 1 capital plus the ALLL, the ratio of FHLB advances to total assets and a measure of available liquidity to volatile liabilities. At March 31, 2015, BankUnited was within acceptable limits established by ALCO for each of these measures.

As a holding company, BankUnited, Inc. is a corporation separate and apart from its banking subsidiary, and therefore, provides for its own liquidity. BankUnited, Inc.'s main sources of funds include management fees and dividends from the Bank, access to public debt and capital markets and, to a lesser extent, its own available for sale securities portfolio which consists primarily of U. S. government agency floating rate mortgage-backed securities and financial institution preferred stocks. There are regulatory limitations that affect the ability of the Bank to pay dividends to BankUnited, Inc. Management believes that such limitations will not impact our ability to meet our ongoing near-term cash obligations.

We expect that our liquidity requirements will continue to be satisfied over the next 12 months through these sources of funds.

Interest Rate Risk

The principal component of the Company's risk of loss arising from adverse changes in the fair value of financial instruments, or market risk, is interest rate risk, including the risk that assets and liabilities with similar re-pricing characteristics may not reprice at the same time or to the same degree. The primary objective of the Company's asset/liability management activities is to maximize net interest income, while maintaining acceptable levels of interest rate risk. The ALCO is responsible for establishing policies to limit exposure to interest rate risk, and to ensure procedures are established to monitor compliance with these policies. The guidelines established by ALCO are approved at least annually by the Board of Directors.

Management believes that the simulation of net interest income in different interest rate environments provides the most meaningful measure of interest rate risk. Income simulation analysis is designed to capture not only the potential of all assets and liabilities to mature or reprice, but also the probability that they will do so. Income simulation also attends to the relative interest rate sensitivities of these items, and projects their behavior over an extended period of time. Finally, income simulation permits management to assess the probable effects on the balance sheet not only of changes in interest rates, but also of proposed strategies for responding to them.

The income simulation model analyzes interest rate sensitivity by projecting net interest income over the next twenty-four months in a most likely rate scenario based on forward interest rate curves versus net interest income in alternative rate scenarios. Management continually reviews and refines its interest rate risk management process in response to the changing

economic climate. Currently, our model projects a plus 100, plus 200, plus 300, plus 400 and plus 500 basis point change with rates increasing by the magnitude of the rate ramp evenly over the next 12 months as well as scenarios resulting in a more flattened yield curve with interest rate decreases up to 100 and 200 basis points at the long end of the curve and instantaneous rate shocks of plus 100, 200, 300, 400 and 500 basis points. We continually evaluate the scenarios being modeled with a view toward adapting them to changing economic conditions, expectations and trends.

The Company's ALCO policy has established that interest income sensitivity will be considered acceptable if forecast net interest income in the plus 200 basis point scenario is within 5% of forecast net interest income in the most likely rate scenario over the next twelve months and within 10% in the second year. The following table illustrates the impact on forecasted net interest income of plus 100, plus 200 and plus 300 basis point scenarios at March 31, 2015:

	Plus 100	Plus 200	Plus 300
March 31, 2015			
Twelve Months	0.5%	0.9%	1.3%
Twenty Four Months	2.6%	4.6%	6.6%

Management also simulates changes in the economic value of equity ("EVE") in various interest rate environments. The ALCO policy has established parameters of acceptable risk that are defined in terms of the percentage change in EVE from a base scenario under six rate scenarios, derived by implementing immediate parallel movements of plus and minus 100, 200 and 300 basis points from current rates. We did not simulate decreases in interest rates at March 31, 2015 due to the current low rate environment. The parameters established by ALCO stipulate that the change in EVE is considered acceptable if the change is less than 8%, 13% and 18% in plus 100, 200 and 300 basis point scenarios, respectively. As of March 31, 2015, our simulation for BankUnited indicated percentage changes from base EVE of (2.8)%, (8.3)% and (13.4)% in plus 100, 200, and 300 basis point scenarios, respectively.

These measures fall within an acceptable level of interest rate risk per the policies established by ALCO. In the event the models indicate an unacceptable level of risk, the Company could undertake a number of actions that would reduce this risk, including the sale or re-positioning of a portion of its available for sale investment portfolio, restructuring of borrowings, or the use of derivatives such as interest rate swaps and caps.

Many assumptions were used by the Company to calculate the impact of changes in interest rates, including the change in rates. Actual results may not be similar to the Company's projections due to several factors including the timing and frequency of rate changes, market conditions, changes in depositor behavior and the shape of the yield curve. Actual results may also differ due to the Company's actions, if any, in response to changing rates and conditions.

Derivative Financial Instruments

Interest rate swaps are one of the tools we use to manage interest rate risk. These derivative instruments are used to mitigate exposure to changes in interest rates on FHLB advances and time deposits and to manage duration of liabilities. These interest rate swaps are designated as cash flow hedging instruments. The fair value of these instruments is included in other assets and other liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets and changes in fair value are reported in accumulated other comprehensive income. At March 31, 2015, outstanding interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges had an aggregate notional amount of \$2.0 billion. The aggregate fair value of interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges included in other assets was \$0.5 million and the aggregate fair value included in other liabilities was \$55 million.

Interest rate swaps not designated as cash flow hedges had an aggregate notional amount of \$1.1 billion at March 31, 2015. The aggregate fair value of these interest rate swaps included in other assets was \$34 million and the aggregate fair value included in other liabilities was \$34 million. These interest rate swaps were entered into as accommodations to certain of our commercial borrowers.

See Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements for more information about our derivative positions.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Commitments

We routinely enter into commitments to extend credit to our customers, including commitments to fund loans or lines of credit and commercial and standby letters of credit. The credit risk associated with these commitments is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to customers and they are subject to our normal credit policies and approval processes. While these commitments represent contractual cash requirements, a significant portion of commitments to extend credit may expire without being drawn upon. The following table details our outstanding commitments to extend credit as of March 31, 2015 (in thousands):

	Covered	Non-Covered		Total	
Commitments to fund loans	\$ _	\$	461,495	\$	461,495
Commitments to purchase loans	_		243,871		243,871
Unfunded commitments under lines of credit	21,580		1,230,593		1,252,173
Commercial and standby letters of credit	_		49,794		49,794
	\$ 21,580	\$	1,985,753	\$	2,007,333

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The Company has made no significant changes in its critical accounting policies and significant estimates from those disclosed in the 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Non-GAAP Financial Measure

Tangible book value per common share is a non-GAAP financial measure. Management believes this measure is relevant to understanding the capital position and performance of the Company. Disclosure of this non-GAAP financial measure also provides a meaningful base for comparability to other financial institutions. The following table reconciles the non-GAAP financial measurement of tangible book value per common share to the comparable GAAP financial measurement of book value per common share at March 31, 2015 (in thousands except share and per share data):

Total stockholders' equity	\$ 2,115,617
Less: goodwill and other intangible assets	68,256
Tangible stockholders' equity	\$ 2,047,361
Common shares issued and outstanding	103,414,014
Book value per common share	\$ 20.46
Tangible book value per common share	\$ 19.80

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

See the section entitled "Interest Rate Risk" included in Item 2, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q, we carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2015, there were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is involved as plaintiff or defendant in various legal actions arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, based upon advice of legal counsel, the likelihood is remote that the impact of these proceedings, either individually or in the aggregate, would be material to the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in the risk factors disclosed by the Company in its 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 26, 2015.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description	
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document	Filed herewith
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema	Filed herewith
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase	Filed herewith
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase	Filed herewith
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase	Filed herewith
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase	Filed herewith

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized this 8th day of May 2015.

/s/ John A. Kanas

John A. Kanas

Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Leslie N. Lunak

Leslie N. Lunak

Chief Financial Officer

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description	Location
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document	Filed herewith
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema	Filed herewith
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase	Filed herewith
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase	Filed herewith
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase	Filed herewith
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase	Filed herewith

Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, John A. Kanas, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of BankUnited, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ John A. Kanas

John A. Kanas

Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 8, 2015

Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Leslie N. Lunak, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of BankUnited, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Leslie N. Lunak

Leslie N. Lunak Chief Financial Officer Date: May 8, 2015

Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of BankUnited, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, John A. Kanas, as Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, to the best of my knowledge, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- 1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ John A. Kanas

John A. Kanas

Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 8, 2015

Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of BankUnited, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Leslie N. Lunak, as Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, to the best of my knowledge, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- 1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ Leslie N. Lunak

Leslie N. Lunak Chief Financial Officer

Date: May 8, 2015